

I 0.'Dgt dgtlej .'E0H0F qto cpp.'F 0Mko gv gm'O 0D0Dgt dgtlej .'P 00'Ucpf gtu'( 'C00 0Gmkuqp"

..... Cr r gpf kz"U3

5 **Detailed methods of simulating how many observers would be needed to estimate**  
6 **accurately the number of nests in a site**

7  
8 We started with the assumption that each observer had a probability  $P_d$  of detecting a  
9 given RWA. Because we observed that some nests consistently were detected (or overlooked),  
10 we defined  $P_c$  to be the probability that observer  $i$  detected a RWA nest that was not detected by  
11 observer  $j$ ,  $i > j$ .  $P_c$  is “complementarity for zeros”, i.e., it is a conditional probability of finding a  
12 nest where the previous observer did not:  $P_c = P(i+1 = 1 | i = 0)$ . For a series of  $n$  observers  $\mathbf{i} =$   
13  $\{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_n\}$  visiting the same site  $s$ , the probability that a given nest has been overlooked is  
14 determined recursively:

15  
16  $P(i_1 = 0) = 1 - P_d;$   
17  $P(i_2 = 0, i_1 = 0) = P(i_2 = 0 | i_1 = 0) P(i_1 = 0) = (1 - P_c) (1 - P_d)$   
18  $P(i_3 = 0, i_2 = 0, i_1 = 0) = P(i_3 = 0 | i_2 = 0, i_1 = 0) = (1 - P_c) (1 - P_c) (1 - P_d)$  (B1)  
19 ...  
20  $P(i_n = 0, \dots, i_1 = 0) = (1 - P_c)^{n-1} (1 - P_d).$

21  
22 Intuitively, equation B1 means that the probability of  $n$  observers overlooking a nest is  
23 dependent on the detection probability of the first, and the complementarity score of all

24 subsequent observers. We had an estimate of the (average) detection probability from our initial  
25 maximum-likelihood computations ( $P_d = 0.42$ ; Table 1), so we computed, for any pair of  
26 observers, the proportion of visits the second observer found a nest that was previously  
27 undetected. On average, this quantity is  $P_c = P(i_2 = 1 \mid i_1 = 0) = 0.25$ . In other words, 65% of the  
28 effort of each additional observer could be considered to be redundant (wasted). The quantity  $P_c$   
29 quantified the correlation between observers and could not be expressed in terms of  $P_d$ . As both  
30  $P_d$  and  $P_c$  were estimated from the data and hence were random variables, we bootstrapped the  
31 above function using random draws from the observed values of  $P_d$  and  $P_c$  to compute the  
32 variance. To compute  $P_d$ , we counted, for any pair of observers, how often a 0 of observer A was  
33 complemented by a 1 of observer B. Finally, we noted that as the number of observers,  $n$ ,  
34 increased, the probability of overlooking any individual nest decreased.

35         With these estimates in hand, we then asked: how many observers would be needed to  
36 come within  $x$  nests of the true number of nests,  $N_s$ , i.e., to reduce the probability of overlooking  
37 a nest  $P(i_n = 0, \dots, i_1 = 0) = (1 - P_c)^{n-1}(1 - P_d)$  to less than a fixed quantity (e.g., 10%). The inset in  
38 Fig. 3 (main text) shows the bootstrapped probability of overlooking a nest with indicated targets  
39 at 10%, 5%, and 1% (horizontal dashed lines in the inset to Fig. 3 in the main text). To determine  
40 these values, we randomly drew an observer (with a detection probability determined from Table  
41 1), drew a second observer randomly, looked up the overlooking rate for the second observer  
42 given the first observer (computed from the data), then drew another and so on. This simulation  
43 was repeated 1000 times (R code provided in Appendix S2).