RHODORA, Vol. 116, No. 968, pp. 377–427, 2014 © Copyright 2014 by the New England Botanical Club DOI: 10.3119/14-04; first published on-line December 22, 2014.

## BUILDING A FOUNDATION: LAND-USE HISTORY AND DENDROCHRONOLOGY REVEAL TEMPORAL DYNAMICS OF A *TSUGA CANADENSIS* (PINACEAE) FOREST

AARON M. ELLISON

Harvard University, Harvard Forest, 324 North Main Street, Petersham, MA 01366 e-mail: aellison@fas.harvard.edu

#### MICHAEL LAVINE

#### University of Massachusetts, Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Amherst, MA 01003

# Peter B. Kerson, Audrey A. Barker Plotkin, and David A. Orwig

# Harvard University, Harvard Forest, 324 North Main Street, Petersham, MA 01366

ABSTRACT. We used historical documents, stand mapping, and new methods of dendrochronological analysis to reconstruct 250 y of land-use history of the Simes Tract in Petersham, MA. These data were then used to interpret the origin of the current forest's stand structure within the experimental plots of the Harvard Forest Hemlock Removal Experiment within the Simes Tract, an experiment that examines the effects of the ongoing decline of *Tsuga canadensis* on forest ecology. Data from tree cores revealed that the trees in the experimental plots were < 150 y old. These trees have established continually since the 1870s, with recruitment pulses following successive episodes of land division and re-aggregation, logging, irruptions of nonnative insects and pathogens, two moderate droughts, and the 1938 "Great Hurricane". Our new method of dendrochronological analysis used generalized additive models and focused on year-to-year dynamics to highlight associations between fine-scale changes in tree growth, and both episodic (pulse) and longer-term environmental and biotic drivers. Tsuga canadensis, hypothesized to be a foundation species in this system, achieved its current dominance at the Simes Tract by responding rapidly, both positively and uniformly across age classes, in the 1920s to three concomitant environmental changes that had occurred in the preceding two decades: loss of Castanea dentata to the chestnut blight; selective logging; and a  $\sim$  7 y drought. In contrast, Betula lenta, Quercus rubra, Acer rubrum, and Pinus strobus have declined in importance since the early 1900s in stands otherwise dominated by T. canadensis. Acer rubrum and P. strobus were selectively harvested or severely damaged by the 1938 hurricane, the peak of B. lenta establishment followed the 1938 hurricane, and Q. rubra growth was impacted by the severe 1981 gypsy moth outbreak. Together, the data illustrate

the contingent nature of the establishment dynamics of a foundation species in a New England forest and suggest a more nuanced approach to the role of *T. canadensis* as a foundation species.

Key Words: dendrochronology, foundation species, general additive model, Harvard Forest, land-use history, Massachusetts, *Tsuga canadensis* 

Foundation species are taxa that exert disproportionate control on species composition and ecosystem structure and function (Dayton 1972; Ellison, Bank, et al. 2005). In forests, foundation species are trees that often are long-lived and dominant in numbers and biomass. They are frequently associated with unique assemblages of other plants and animals, and modulate energy and nutrient cycling in ways that contrast sharply with processes mediated by congeners or co-occurring species (reviewed in Ellison and Baiser 2014; Ellison, Bank, et al. 2005). In eastern North America, a substantial body of evidence now supports the hypothesis that eastern hemlock, *Tsuga canadensis* (Pinaceae), is a foundation species (Ellison, Orwig, and Barker Plotkin 2014; Orwig et al. 2013).

This long-lived conifer ranges over ~ 10,000 km<sup>2</sup> from Georgia north into southern Canada and west into Michigan and Wisconsin (Figure 1). Across its range, *Tsuga canadensis* accounts for ~ 2 ×  $10^8$  m<sup>3</sup> of harvestable volume, and in many regions, *T. canadensis* can comprise > 50% of the total basal area in a given stand (Rogers 1980; Smith et al. 2009). The dark understory beneath its dense canopy hosts unique assemblages of birds, arthropods, and salamanders (Dilling et al. 2007; Ellison, Chen, et al. 2005; Mallis and Rieske 2011; Mathewson 2009; Rohr et al. 2009; Sackett et al. 2011; Tingley et al. 2002), and the streams associated with *T. canadensis* forests support specialist communities of aquatic invertebrates and fish (Siderhurst et al. 2010; Snyder et al. 2002).

The needles of *Tsuga canadensis* are acidic and slow to decompose (Cobb et al. 2006); thus, organic matter accumulates rapidly beneath *T. canadensis* canopies (Aber and Melillo 1991). Soils beneath this canopy are cool and nutrient-poor; both nutrient cycling (Jenkins et al. 1999; Orwig et al. 2008, 2013; Stadler et al. 2005) and carbon flux (Ford et al. 2012; Hadley et al. 2008; Lovett et al. 2006; Nuckolls et al. 2009; Savage and Davidson 2001) are slower in *T. canadensis* stands than in stands dominated by hardwoods or other conifers.



Figure 1. Location of the Simes Tract and the Harvard Forest Hemlock Removal Experiment in Petersham, MA. The regional map shows the basal area of *Tsuga canadensis* at a  $1 \text{ km}^2$  resolution. The inset shows the location of Harvard Forest tracts in Petersham; the Simes Tract is labeled, and the locations of the experimental plots are indicated with black dots.

From southern Vermont, New Hampshire, and Maine south to Georgia, *Tsuga canadensis* is dying from infestations by a nonnative insect, the hemlock woolly adelgid (*Adelges tsugae* Annand). This adelgid was introduced to the U.S. from Japan in the early 1950s. Since its initial introduction near Richmond, Virginia, *A. tsugae* has spread primarily northeast and southwest, but it crossed over to the western side of the Appalachian Mountains sometime in the late 1990s or early 2000s (Fitzpatrick et al. 2010, 2012; Morin et al. 2009). *Tsuga canadensis* has little, if any, resistance to the hemlock woolly adelgid (Ingwell and Preisser 2011) and rarely recovers from chronic infestations (McClure 1995; Orwig et al. 2012). In many infested stands, more than 90% of *T. canadensis* die within 10 years of adelgid establishment (Eschtruth et al. 2006; Knoepp et al. 2011; Orwig et al. 2002).

Most of the data on responses of *Tsuga canadensis*-dominated systems to infestation by the adelgid have come from observational studies of stands, either as they were declining or after *T. canadensis* 

379

had died (e.g., Eschtruth et al. 2006; Ford et al. 2012; Krapfl et al. 2011; Orwig et al. 2002, 2008, 2012; Small et al. 2005), but four manipulative experiments have examined forest dynamics in response to T. canadensis decline (Brooks 2001; Ellison et al. 2010; Nuckolls et al. 2009; Yorks et al. 2003). The authors of the experimental studies were all aware that the areas where they were studying T. canadensis had histories of selective logging or other allogenic disturbances (sensu Oliver and Stephens 1977) within 50-100 y of the establishment of their experiments (Brooks 2001; Elliott et al. 1999; Foster et al. 1992; Kudish 1985). However, the influences of land-use history and forest succession on the observed responses to their experimental manipulations were not quantified because plot-specific land-use history was not known in detail for any of the experimental sites. Without accounting for land-use history, researchers are likely to draw erroneous conclusions about the role of T. canadensis or other forest foundation species in controlling forest dynamics (Foster et al. 1998).

In this paper, we describe the land-use history and premanipulation stand structure of the experimental plots at the Harvard Forest Hemlock Removal Experiment (HF-HeRE; Ellison et al. 2010). We used a combination of historical documents, archival records, stand mapping, and analysis of tree growth from increment core samples (dendrochronology), including a new method for visualizing and modeling dendrochronological data, to infer nearly 250 y of forest dynamics at the experimental site. The proximal aim of this study was to reconstruct the establishment, stand history, and growth dynamics of trees at the Simes Tract by combining dendrochronological data and information on land-use history and environmental disturbance from a variety of sources. After standardizing data for tree size using relative growth rate, we developed and used a new method for visualizing and modeling dendrochronological data to help interpret the effects of tree age (cf. Ogle et al. 2000; Rohner et al. 2013; Ryan et al. 1994) and a variety of historical events on tree growth at the Simes Tract since the late 1800s. We used these analyses to ask how contemporary stand structure has been influenced by past logging, episodic drought, the 1938 hurricane, and repeated influx of nonnative insects and pathogens that have impacted one or more tree species in this forest.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

Site description and experimental context. HF-HeRE is located within the 121 ha Simes Tract (42.47°-42.48°N, 72.22°-72.21°W; elevation 215-300 m a.s.l.) at the Harvard Forest Long Term Ecological Research Site in Petersham, MA (Ellison et al. 2010; Figures 1, 2). The complete design of HF-HeRE is described by Ellison et al. (2010); only salient details are repeated here. The experimental site includes eight permanent plots grouped into two blocks of four plots (Figures 1, 2), each consisting of three "hemlock" plots dominated (in 2003) by Tsuga canadensis and one "hardwood" plot of mixed hardwoods. The "valley" block (plots 1–3 and 8) is in undulating terrain bordered on its northern edge by a Sphagnum-dominated wetland. The "ridge" block (plots 4-7) is on a forested ridge, approximately 0.5 km northeast of the valley block. Plots 4–8 are each 90  $\times$  90 m (0.81 ha). Plots 1 and 3 are  $85 \times 90$  m (0.76 ha), and plot 2 is  $85 \times 85$  m (0.72 ha) in size; the small differences in size of the latter three plots resulted from topographic constraints and the regulatory requirement to avoid disturbance to a nearby vernal pool. The soils are predominantly coarse-loamy, mixed, active, mesic Typic Dystrudepts in the Charlton Series that are derived from glacial till (United States Department of Agriculture n.d.) Across the eight HF-HeRE study plots, the soil pH ranges from 3.0–3.4 in the organic layer and 3.5– 4.0 in the mineral layer; soil C:N ratios range from 26-33 (Orwig et al. 2013).

Two canopy-manipulation treatments were applied in 2005 to one *Tsuga canadensis*-dominated plot in each block: 1) girdling to kill trees standing in place, or 2) harvesting of standing *T. canadensis* along with cutting of merchantable hardwoods and *Pinus strobus* (Ellison et al. 2010). However, the focus of this paper is on stand structure and land-use history prior to application of the treatments.

Land-use history. The history of human activities at the Simes Tract was compiled from deeds; records of witness trees, land, and timber sales; maps of varying detail produced at irregular intervals for forest inventories, tax assessments, and the white pine blister rust (*Cronartium ribicola* J.C. Fisch.) eradication campaign of the early 1900s; timber inventories and harvests; salvage assessments following the 1938 hurricane; reconstruction of the soil seed bank



Figure 2. Historical context and use of the Simes Tract. The figure shows mapped stone walls, the cart path by the cider mill, major roads, and town boundaries prior to the disincorporation in April 1938 of the towns of Dana, Enfield, Greenwich, and Prescott to build the Quabbin Reservoir; the primary property divisions (the Gleason Farm in the north half of the tract and the

(Farnsworth et al. 2012; Sullivan and Ellison 2006); and other records from the Harvard Forest Archives, as detailed below. Additional historical interpretation was based on a reconnaissance of field sites in 2004 that included identification and assessments of stone walls, foundations, wells, and a former house site, along with excavation of artifacts from cellar holes and refuse dumps.

Environmental data and disturbance history. Temperature and precipitation data for the period spanning the dendrochronological records were obtained from the U.S. National Climatic Data Center (http://www.ncdc.noaa.gov/cdo-web/) for Amherst, MA (42.38°N, 72.53°W), the closest station (ca. 30 km SW of the Simes Tract) for which daily temperature and precipitation data were available from the late 1800s to the present; parallel climatic data have been available for the Harvard Forest since 1964 (Boose 2001; Boose and Gould 1999). Instrumental records of the summer Palmer Drought Severity Index (PDSI; Cook et al. 1999; Palmer 1965) were obtained from Cook and Krusic (2004); the closest PDSI grid-point to the Harvard Forest (and the only one in Massachusetts) is at 42.5°N, 72.5°W, in Leverett, MA, ca. 25 km west of the Simes Tract. Data on the effects of the hurricane of 21 September 1938 on the region were summarized in Foster and Boose (1992); site-specific data were derived from the Consulting Forestry File 1950-17 in the Harvard Forest Document Archive (http://tinyurl.com/pkbhao7).

Biotic changes that affected the Simes Tract and the surrounding region include the establishment of chestnut blight (*Cryphonectria parasitica* (Murrill) Barr, white-pine blister rust and subsequent logging, gypsy-moth (*Lymantria dispar dispar* L.) outbreaks, and human population growth. The first occurrence in Petersham of

 $\leftarrow$ 

Chamberlain, then Towne, Farm in the south half of the tract); area identified as "forested" (Woodland) based on the 1830 statewide forest survey (Hall et al. 2002); areas logged (Cut) in the 1920s; areas logged between 1930 and 1980 (Thinned); and the Harvard Forest Hemlock Removal Experimental plots (Plots; established 2003). The experimental plots and assigned canopy manipulation treatments are overlain on this map: plots 1, 2, 3, and 8 make up the valley block; plots 4–7 make up the ridge block. In 2005, the canopy manipulation treatments—hemlock control (He), girdled (G), logged (L), and hardwood control (Hw)—were each applied to one of the  $\sim 90 \text{ m} \times 90 \text{ m}$  plots within each block.

chestnut blight was reconstructed by Bradshaw and Miller (1988). Efforts to control white-pine blister rust in the area was spearheaded by the Harvard Forest (Fisher and Cline 1944, Maloy 1997); subsequent logging throughout Petersham, including at the Simes Tract, was documented by the Worcester County Land-use Planning Project (1938). Data on timing and duration of gypsymoth outbreaks were based on observations of Baker and Cline (1936) and Bess et al. (1947), and on historical reconstructions by Allstadt et al. (2013), MassGIS (1997), and Muzika and Liebhold (1999). Human population trends in Petersham were derived from the U.S. Census Bureau online database (http://www.census.gov) and the Harvard Forest Document Archive 1980-13 (http://tinyurl. com/mbex195).

Stand structure. In each of the eight experimental plots, every tree  $\geq 5$  cm diameter at breast height (DBH, measured 1.3 m above ground level) was labeled in 2003–2004 with a numbered, aluminum tag. Each tree was identified to species (nomenclature follows Haines 2011), measured (DBH to nearest mm), determined to be alive or dead, and if alive, its crown class (dominant, co-dominant, intermediate, or suppressed; all *sensu* Smith et al. 1997) was recorded. Locations of individual trees and their elevations relative to a fixed point in each plot were mapped in 2003 and 2004 using stadia rods and a Topcon AT-GS auto-level (Forestry Suppliers, Inc., Jacksonville, MS).

**Dendrochronology.** Stratified random sampling was used to select trees to core in each plot. Trees measured during the stand mapping and growing in the central  $30 \times 30$  m area of each plot, were grouped into five size classes: 11-20, 21-30, 31-40, 41-50, and  $\geq 51$  cm DBH. At least one tree (from any species) was sampled at random from each size class; the total number of trees selected for each species and from each size class was proportional to both the relative abundance of each species and the frequency of individuals in a given size class relative to the total number of stems in the plot. Dead trees and trees < 10 cm DBH were not sampled, whereas trees judged to be sufficiently decayed so as to make the sample unreadable were replaced with an alternate of the same species and size class, where available. In total, 232 trees were sampled across the eight plots (Table 1).

Cores were collected using an increment borer in July, 2004, and between October and December, 2005. A single core was collected

Table 1. Species cored for dendrochronological analysis in the Harvard Forest Hemlock Removal Experiment. The species are ordered by relative abundance, and the numbers of the plots from which they were sampled match plot numbers illustrated in Figure 2. The last column gives the percent of the cores sampled that reached  $\leq$  3 years of the pith of the tree.

		Co	ores
Species	Plots	No. Sampled	$\% \leq 3$ y of Pith
Tsuga canadensis (L.) Carrière	1–7	121	82
Acer rubrum L.	1-8	32	93
Betula lenta L.	2, 4, 5-8	31	77
Quercus rubra L.	2, 3, 5-8	16	79
Pinus strobus L.	1-3, 6, 7	13	88
Betula alleghaniensis Britton	1, 6, 8	5	100
Betula papyrifera Marshall	5-7	5	100
Quercus alba L.	1, 3	4	80
Acer saccharum Marshall	8	2	100
Fraxinus americana L.	6	1	100
Ostrya virginiana (Mill.) K. Koch	3	1	100
Quercus velutina Lam.	7	1	100

from each tree, and trees were cored as close to the ground as possible to more accurately determine tree age. Samples were rough-sanded using a random-orbit palm sander, then hand-sanded with sand paper (ISO 400, 600, or 1200 grit) as needed to reveal the rings. Rings on each tree core were counted under a binocular microscope and annual radial growth was measured to the nearest 0.01 mm with a Velmex tree-ring measuring system (Velmex, Inc., Bloomfield, NY) and Measure J2X software (VoorTech Consulting, Holderness, NH). Cores were visually checked for similarity within and among plots and species; years that appeared to be outliers were checked, verified, and corrected if needed. Every core was independently checked by a second investigator for ring curvature, which indicates proximity to the pith. These checks illustrated that 15% of the cores reached the pith of the tree and 69% were within 1–3 y of the pith (Table 1). Thus, 84% of all cores could be dated accurately to within three years of the pith, and the remaining cores were dated graphically using a pith locator (Applequist 1958).

**Data analysis and data availability.** All graphics and analyses were done using the R software system, version 3.0.1 (R Core Team 2013). Visualizations of stand structure included scatterplots of tree

locations coupled to histograms of tree size to provide a sense of both species-specific and overall stand size-structure and contour plots of the distribution of each of the dominant genera (*Tsuga*, *Betula*, *Quercus*, *Acer*, and *Pinus*). The spatial distribution of the stems in each of these genera was tested for departure from complete spatial randomness (CSR), assuming an underlying homogeneous spatial Poisson process (Ripley 1977). We used the Kest and envelope functions in the spatstat library (Baddeley and Turner 2005) of R to generate point-wise 99th-percentile simulation envelopes that specify the critical points for statistical significance based on a Monte Carlo test (Ripley 2004). Values of Ripley's K that fall outside the simulation envelope at a specified distance imply significant clustering ( $K \gg$  simulation envelope) or dispersion ( $K \ll$  simulation envelope) of stems at the given distance.

Visualizations and comparisons of environmental and dendrochronological data were based on time series of temperature, PDSI, and annual growth (ring widths) of individual trees. As a measure of annual growth of an individual tree, we used the relative change in its basal area from one year to the next ( $rBAI_n$  in mm<sup>2</sup> · mm<sup>-2</sup> · y<sup>-1</sup>) for each year in which it was alive:

$$rBAI_n = \frac{\pi r_n^2 - \pi r_{n-1}^2}{\pi r_{n-1}^2}$$
 (Equation 1)

where  $r_n$  is the radius of the tree (in mm) in year *n*. By adjusting for (dividing by) size at year n-1, a relative measure of growth rate such as rBAI<sub>n</sub> allows for more straightforward comparisons of growth increments to be made among different size classes (e.g., Paine et al. 2012). As relative growth rate (i.e.,  $rBAI_n$ ) approaches zero, virtually all carbon gain from photosynthesis in a given year is allocated to respiration. In contrast, note that  $rBAI_n$  is similar to the percent growth change used by Nowacki and Abrams (1997), although we used annual change, not decadal-mean change. However, it is not the same as the absolute basal area increment (BAI: growth rate in mm<sup>2</sup>. y<sup>-1</sup>) used by, for example, Rubino and McCarthy (2000) or Johnson and Abrams (2009). Biondi and Oeadan (2008) suggested an alternative approach-the C-method-to standardizing changes in basal area caused by changes in both stem size and tree age in opengrown, shade-intolerant species. But because we were interested specifically in effects of tree age and environmental effects of particular years (see below), and of both shade-tolerant and shadeintolerant species in a closed-canopy forest, we did not use their C-method here.

Initial exploration of relationships between tree relative growth rate and environmental variables were done on 10-year moving averages of annual  $rBAI_n$  values; we used the rollapply function in the zoo library (Zeileis and Grothendieck 2005) of R to compute moving averages of environmental variables and the annual tree-ring data.

These initial analyses suggested a strong, monotonically increasing relationship between tree size and tree age. We thus used two different generalized additive models (GAMS) to estimate how growth changed as trees aged. Generalized additive models are an extension of generalized linear models (GLMS) in which the linear predictor of the GLM is specified as a smooth function of the predictor variable or variables (Wood 2006). Unlike in a GLM, where the exact parametric form of the smoothing function [denoted  $s(\cdot)$ ] is specified in advance, in a GAM, the form of the function itself is estimated.

In our first GAM, we modeled  $rBAI_n$  as varying only with the age of the tree:

$$rBAI_n = YEAR + s(AGE).$$
 (Equation 2)

In this model,  $rBAI_n$  is a constant function of YEAR, which can be thought of as a proxy for a suite of both measured and unmeasured environmental variables in a given calendar year. By assuming a constant function for YEAR, this model implies that there are "good" and "bad" calendar years for growth, and these years would be equally "good" or "bad" for trees of any age (see also Ryan et al. 1994). We used a cubic smoothing spline with three degrees of freedom as our function s(AGE) in Equation 2. Splines are piecewise polynomial functions used to fit non-linear data; see Wood (2006) for additional details.

Our first GAM (Equation 2) modeled the effect of a given calendar YEAR as being the same for every tree regardless of its age. However, young trees, for example, may experience the environmental conditions of a particular year in a different manner from older trees. Thus, to account for age-specific variability in responses to the year of growth, we used a two-dimensional (a.k.a. thin-plate) smoothing spline (Wood 2006) to model the data as:

$$rBAI_n = s(YEAR, AGE).$$
 (Equation 3)

This model (Equation 3) is more general and it allowed us to model the growth of each tree for the entire range of years in which it was alive.

Both types of GAM were fit for each species separately. Model fits are reported as the percent of deviance explained relative to the null model (i.e., an "intercept only" model). We used Akaike's Information Criterion (AIC) to compare the fits of the two models (Equations 2 and 3) for each species (Burnham and Anderson 2002); a higher deviance explains, and a lower AIC suggests, a better-fitting model. GAMS were run using the gam function (default settings) in R's mgcv library (Wood 2006); AIC comparisons were run using the aic function in R's stats library.

All raw data and associated R code for visualization and analysis are available from the Harvard Forest Data Archive (http:// harvardforest.fas.harvard.edu/data-archive), datasets HF086 (dendrochronology) and HF126 (overstory vegetation). Deeds, maps, and other historical records used are stored in the Harvard Forest Document Archives and are available digitally: White Pine Blister Rust control map for Block 31 – southwest Petersham (Worcester County Land Use Planning Project 1938); Consulting Forestry File 1950-17 (http://tinyurl.com/pkbhao7); Stand Records for Harvard Forest Simes Lot, 1971–2006, HF1971-21 (http://tinyurl.com/oqkwmmx); Massachusetts witness tree data, HF1993-05 (http://tinyurl.com/q7yd9ag); and Simes Tract deeds and timber sales, HFAdm-137 (http://tinyurl.com/pku326s).

#### RESULTS

Land-use history. The  $\sim 121$  ha Simes Tract (Figures 1, 2) is named for Olive Simes, who bequeathed the property to Harvard University in 1970. Stone walls, barbed wire, foundations, and remnants of an old mill are scattered throughout the tract, and provided initial evidence that the majority of the land had been cleared one or more times since the settlement of Petersham (as Voluntown) in 1730.

The modern-day Simes Tract consists of four parcels accumulated by Olive Simes over her lifetime (Figure 2; Appendix). The southern half of the Simes Tract (which includes the valley block of HF-HeRE) was made up of three of these parcels, whereas the northern half of the Simes Tract (which includes the ridge block of HF-HeRE) was what remained of a larger parcel subdivided by Olive Simes in 1947 (Figure 2). Both halves of the Simes Tract represent remnants of two large farmsteads amassed in the 1780s: Joseph Gleason's > 500-acre ( $\sim$  200 ha) farm to the north and Samuel Chamberlain's nearly 300-acre ( $\sim$  120 ha) farm, woodlot, and cider mill to the south. However, the subsequent ownership patterns (Appendix) and land-use histories of these two parts of the modern-day Simes Tract were very different.

Joseph Gleason's farm spanned both sides of the "road to New Salem," Petersham's present-day West Street. Gleason accumulated his acreage in the late 1700s, and sold 260 acres ( $\sim 105$  ha)—a portion of which is now the northern part of the Simes Tract—to his son in 1806. In the deed transfers of 1806, 1826, and 1830 (Appendix), this parcel is referred to as "the farm on which I live," and included at least two adjacent lots, a house, and a barn. However, the 1830 forest inventory map of Massachusetts (Hall et al. 2002) showed that most of this parcel was forested as of that year (Figure 2). The parcel was sold intact six times between 1859 and 1941 (Appendix); Olive Simes, who purchased it in 1941, subdivided it and sold the northern  $\sim 50$  acres ( $\sim 20$  ha) along West Street in 1947 (Appendix). The remainder was bequeathed to Harvard Forest in 1970.

In the south, Samuel Chamberlain's farm lay on both sides of the "road to Dana"-now known as Dugway Road (Figures 1, 2)and included a house and barn, extensive pasture land, small wood lots, and a cider mill (Coolidge 1948). The extensive stone walls throughout Chamberlain's farm (Figure 2) suggest that this southern portion of the Simes Tract was much more intensively managed than was the Gleason's farm to the north. Deeds (Appendix) not only described repeated land transfers among Chamberlain's heirs and neighbors throughout the 1820s and 1830s, but also explicitly reserved water rights for the mill, harvesting rights for the apples, rights-of-way for moving livestock, and requirements that owners and abutters maintain fence-lines. By the late 1830s, the original Chamberlain farm had been subdivided into at least six parcels, ranging in size from 1 to 100 acres ( $\sim 0.4$ -40 ha), at which point Jonathan Towne Jr. began purchasing the pieces and reassembling it. By the mid-1800s, most of the original farm had been re-aggregated into a single large parcel. However, the timber rights were sold in 1880, cutting was completed by 1883 (diagonal hatched area in Figure 2), and the farm was again subdivided by his sons. The farmhouse burned in 1928 (Coolidge 1948); the cellarhole is still visible at the south end of the Simes Tract.

389

In 1929 and 1934, Olive Simes acquired the pieces of what, by the 1920s, was known as the Towne Farm: the remnants of Chamberlain's farm north of Dugway Road. By then, it was covered with well-established second-growth forest that had been surveyed, mapped, and organized into timber-management compartments by Harvard Forest Assistant Director A. C. Cline. His assessment of Simes's land in 1930 (in a letter to Olive Simes dated 12 February 1930; in Stand Records for Harvard Forest Simes Lot) was that the "Williams Lot" (a.k.a. the "Sprout lot" on the northeast corner of the Chamberlain farm that had been subdivided by Jonathan Towne fils in 1880) was "covered with an inferior growth in which gray birch predominates ... [along with] a mixed growth of hardwood (both cordwood and prospective saw-timber). pine and hemlock of decidedly better quality, and of older age than the growth on the central and eastern portions." The difference in quality may have been due to less intensive harvest of the southern parts in the 1880s.

Two compartments (19 and 25) were thinned in the spring of 1932 (vertical hatched areas of Figure 2), yielding the equivalent of 329.5 cords (1195 m<sup>3</sup>) of small saw-logs and cordwood (Report of 3 April 1932 and letter of 13 April 1932 from A. C. Cline to Francis B. Parsons, Esq., of Petersham, representing Olive Simes, both in Stand Records for Harvard Forest Simes Lot). The intent of this thinning was to release *Pinus strobus* for future returns; sales of timber rights in 1880 suggest that *P. strobus* also had been the focus of that decade's logging by the Towne family. The adjacent compartment 24 was used for a skid road, and some trees and limbs were cut for access to compartment 25. On March 28, 1932, during the logging operation, a severe storm occurred that damaged many of the trees slated for harvest.

The forest on the entire present-day Simes Tract was reassessed by Harvard Forest Economist E. M. Gould in the early 1970s, after Olive Simes had died and her estate was being settled (Gould 1971– 1973). A 1971 rapid assessment based on aerial photos suggested that over half of the tract (72 ha) was in 40–60 year-old merchantable softwoods (conifers, mostly *Pinus strobus*, but with some *Tsuga canadensis*), about one-third of the tract (43 ha) was in 20–40 year-old merchantable softwoods and hardwoods (mostly *Quercus rubra*, some *Acer* spp.), and the remaining 10 ha was in young timber with no merchantable value. Gould completed a more detailed resource analysis in the summer of 1973; the tract was, by then, considered to be  $\sim$  70% stocked with primarily softwoods and a mixture of hardwood and softwoods.

In 1979–1980, a 4-acre ( $\sim$  1.6 ha) parcel just east of the old Chamberlain homestead was thinned to promote growth of *Acer saccharum* (Gould 1979; Figure 2). A more detailed inventory of the entire tract was done in 1980 to provide a new baseline for logging and future economic return (Kernan 1980). That inventory summarized stand structure in terms of the amount of roundwood (for cordwood); saw-timber for oak, mixed hardwoods, hemlock– hardwoods, and white pine–oak; swamp hardwoods (predominantly red maple); and white pine–hardwoods. Across the entire tract in 1980, *Tsuga canadensis* accounted for 16% of the roundwood (443 of 2738 total cords, or 1606 of 9924 m<sup>3</sup>) and 19% of the saw-timber (170,000 of 887,000 board feet, or 401 of 2083 m<sup>3</sup>). Despite Kernan's suggestion that "at least some part of this fairly large volume could be profitably sold," no cutting was done in the tract until the HF-HeRE treatments were applied in 2005.

Overall, by 1980, a mixture of hemlock and hardwoods dominated two surveyed compartments, accounting for just over 34 ha of the Simes Tract, the majority of which was in "poorly formed" (i.e., not merchantable) condition (Kernan 1980). In 2003, plots 1-6 of HF-HeRE were sited within these two surveyed compartments. Within these six plots, Tsuga canadensis accounted for 50-69% of the basal area and 55–70% of the stem density in the hemlock-dominated plots (Ellison et al. 2010). In contrast, in the two hardwood-control plots (plots 7 and 8 of Figure 2), T. canadensis comprised <10% of the basal area and stem density. The only other species in the HF-HeRE plots that accounted for >10% of the basal area were *Acer rubrum*, A. saccharum (only in hardwood-control plot 8), Betula lenta, Quercus rubra, and Pinus strobus (Table 2). In 2005, the Harvard Forest Woods Crew cut the two logged-treatment plots (plots 2 and 4 of Figure 2). Approximately two-thirds of the basal area in these two plots was removed, including all T. canadensis >20 cm DBH, most of the low-quality Acer and Betula spp., and 50% of the basal area of P. strobus, Q. alba, and Q. rubra. Summed over both logged plots, 39,000 board feet  $(92 \text{ m}^3)$  of logs for saw-timber, 47 cords  $(170 \text{ m}^3)$  of firewood, and 113 (U.S.) tons (102 tonnes) of softwood pulp were removed from 1.53 ha (Wisnewski and Barker Plotkin 2006).

**Environmental data.** Continuous environmental data relevant to the Simes Tract have been available for just over 100 y (Figure 3):

		Hemlock Plots			Hardwood Plots	
Taxon	Density (trees/ha)	Basal Area (m <sup>2</sup> /ha)	IV	Density (trees/ha)	Basal Area (m <sup>2</sup> /ha)	IV
Tsuga canadensis	672	30.69	126	64	1.98	12
Rotalo	(764, 579) 120	(30.33, 31.05)	(129, 123)	(27, 101)	(0.83, 3.13)	(5, 20)
рениц	(82, 178)	(2.11, 6.73)	(11, 32)	(346, 231)	(9.05, 6.75)	(61, 45)
B. lenta	98	3.42	16	237	6.16	42
	(53, 143)	(1.76, 5.07)	(8, 25)	(280, 193)	(7.08, 5.23)	(49, 37)
B. alleghaniensis	20	0.41	ŝ	32	0.88	9
I	(27, 13)	(0.31, 0.51)	(3, 2)	(62, 1)	(1.75, 0.01)	(11, 0)
B. papyrifera	12	0.6	2	20	0.84	5
	(2, 22)	(0.03, 1.16)	(0, 5)	(4, 36)	(0.18, 1.49)	(1, 8)
B. populifolia		I		1	0.04	0
				(1, 1)	(0.04, 0.03)	(0, 0)
Quercus	72	5.72	18	151	8.79	42
	(99, 44)	(6.39, 5.05)	(22, 15)	(196, 105)	(11.23, 6.35)	(55, 30)
Q. rubra	52	4.36	14	135	8.12	38
	(71, 33)	(4.77, 3.95)	(16, 11)	(184, 85)	(10.80, 5.44)	(53, 25)

392

Rhodora

[Vol. 116

		Hemlock Plots		. •	Hardwood Plots	
	Density	Basal Area		Density	Basal Area	
Taxon	(trees/ha)	(m <sup>2</sup> /ha)	IV	(trees/ha)	(m <sup>2</sup> /ha)	IV
Q. alba	19	1.35	4	7	0.23	
1	(26, 11)	(1.59, 1.10)	(6, 3)	(4, 9)	(0.08, 0.38)	(1, 2)
Q. bicolor		0.02	0			
	(1, 0)	(0.04, 0.00)	(0, 0)			
Q. velutina				10	0.44	2
1				(9, 11)	(0.36, 0.52)	(2, 3)
Acer	102	3.43	17	353	6.62	55
	(110, 94)	(2.48, 4.38)	(15, 19)	(477, 228)	(7.05, 6.18)	(66, 43)
$A. \ rubrum$	103	3.43	17	242	4.96	39
	(111, 94)	(2.48, 4.38)	(15, 19)	(256, 227)	(3.85, 6.06)	(36, 43)
$A.\ saccharum$				111	1.66	16
				(221, 1)	(3.20, 0.11)	(30, 0)
<b>Pinus</b> strobus	56	5.00	15	114	6.74	33
	(90, 22)	(6.22, 3.77)	(21, 10)	(47, 180)	(0.97, 12.50)	(9, 58)
Other	2	0.50	б	5	0.63	5
	(3, 1)	(0.41, 0.58)	(3, 2)	(4, 5)	(0.55, 0.70)	(4, 6)

Table 2. Continued.

2014]

Ellison et al.-Tsuga canadensis stand dynamics

393



Figure 3. Environmental history in and around Petersham, MA, 1897–2003. Top to bottom: Population of Petersham. Mean annual temperature (°C, thin lines), 10 y running mean temperature (thick lines), and average annual minimum and maximum temperatures (shaded areas) in Amherst, MA (black lines, grey shading), and Petersham (blue lines, lavender shading). Mean annual precipitation (mm: thin lines) and 10 y running mean precipitation (thick lines) in Amherst (light brown) and Petersham (dark green). Summer (July–August) Palmer Drought Severity Index (PDSI; thin red line) and 5 y running mean PDSI (dark red line) for Pelham, MA. Timing of the major region-wide forest disturbances and the 1925–1932 logging are indicated with shading and arrows.

1897-present (Amherst) and 1964-present (Harvard Forest). The 1897–2003 average annual temperature at Amherst was 8.9°C; during the period of overlap, Harvard Forest averaged 1.7°C cooler. Inter-annual variability in temperatures at Harvard Forest closely paralleled that seen in the Amherst data (Figure 3); when the two time-series overlapped (1964-2003), the inter-site correlation (r) = 0.67 (p =  $2 \times 10^{-6}$ ), so it appeared reasonable to use the longer Amherst record as a temperature proxy for Harvard Forest. Similarly, average annual precipitation was nearly equal at the two sites: 1084 mm at Amherst and 1095 mm at Harvard Forest [intersite correlation (r) = 0.82 (p =  $1 \times 10^{-10}$ )]. On average, the region was neither overly wet, nor overly dry (average PDSI from 1897 to 2003 = -0.1), but multi-vear "moderate-drought" periods (i.e.,  $-2.00 \le PDSI \le -2.99$ ; Palmer 1965) occurred from 1907–1914 and 1962–1966. During these years, the population of Petersham declined from just over 1000 people in the 1880s to its low of 642 in 1930 and then increased again to 1180 people in 2000 (Figure 3). Note that the largest population in Petersham was recorded in the 1840 census (1775 people), after which it declined in every census until 1940.

In addition to the continual land-use changes described above, several major region-wide (i.e., throughout much of New England) disturbance events affected the tract during the 1900s. From 1910 to 1915, co-incident with the 1907–1914 drought, chestnut blight swept through the region. We found no records of either the historical abundance of American chestnut (Castanea dentata) across the Simes Tract, or the exact timing of the occurrence there, of the blight. Although C. dentata accounted for 9% of the witness tree records for Petersham (Wallace and Foster 1993), this species was never mentioned as a witness tree in any of the Simes Tract deeds listed in the Appendix (the four witness trees mentioned included two "elms," one "white oak," and one "birch"). However, three understory C. dentata individuals are still growing in the plots (one in plot 2 and two in plot 7), and scattered dead C. dentata poles still can be found in plots on the ridge. Archival records indicate that the blight was present within Harvard Forest's Prospect Hill Tract by 1910-1911 (C. B. Fall, cited in Smith 1948; Kittredge 1913; Paillet 2002) and was considered widespread at Harvard Forest by 1912 (D. R. Foster, pers. comm., cited in Bradshaw and Miller 1988).

Chestnut blight was followed by white-pine blister rust, and extensive control efforts in Petersham between 1917 and 1944 were

Table 3. Damage to the Simes Tract from the 1938 hurricane based on visits to all stands and detailed assessments of older stands with merchantable timber (Raymond 1938). These older stands included compartments 27, 30, and 32 of Figure 2, in which plots 1–3 of the Harvard Forest Hemlock Removal Experiment are located. Area per compartment is in acres (1 acre = 0.405 hectare) and volume is in thousands of board feet (MBF; one board foot =  $0.002 \text{ m}^3$ ). <sup>1</sup>Cd = Cordwood; Hdwd = Hardwood; Hmlk = Hemlock.

			Trees left Standing	Volume Down (MBF)	
Compartment	Classification <sup>1</sup>	(acres)	(%)	per Acre	Total
9	Hmlk/Cd	2.5	50-75	10	25
16	Pine	4	25-50	15	60
22	Pine-Hmlk-Hdwd	1.5	0-10	15	22
25	Pine	30	0-10	25	750
27	Pine-Hmlk-Hdwd	2	25-50	10	20
30	Pine-Hmlk	10	50-75	8	80
32	Pine-Hmlk-Hdwd	9	50-75	8	72
33	Pine	10	25-50	10	100
33	Hardwood	10	25-50	1	10
35	Hardwood	15.4	50-75	1	15

coordinated by Harvard Forest scientists (Fisher and Cline 1944). Although little logging of pine occurred during the blister-rust eradication campaign, tens of thousands of *Ribes* individuals, the alternate host of the rust, were manually removed (Fisher and Cline 1944). In addition, between 1925 and 1932, much of the northern half of the Simes Tract, identified as either "Hardwood–Scattered Pine < 1" diameter" or "Hardwood–Hemlock" was "cut" or "partially cut," respectively (Worcester County Land Use Planning Project 1938).

The largest disturbance to affect the Simes Tract was the hurricane of 21 September 1938. Detailed records of damage to the forest by the hurricane were made only for the southern half of the Tract. There, the pines released by the 1932 logging and coincident storm, along with other larger trees (mostly hardwoods), were blown down by the 1938 hurricane (Table 3). On 18 February 1939, A. C. Cline wrote to Olive Simes that "the hurricane resulted in the complete blowdown of all of the larger timber, including at least nine-tenths of the area supporting stands which a lumberman would consider merchantable and a good logging chance. No stand completely escaped." The inspection report suggested that most of the trees were uprooted but that many of the better trees had been

snapped and broken 8–10 feet (2–3 m) above the ground; remnant decaying boles are still visible today (Ellison and Barker Plotkin 2009).

Finally, extensive outbreaks of gypsy moth caterpillars occurred at various times during the last century. Gypsy moths were first targeted for control in Petersham in 1909 (Town of Petersham 1909), and relatively small outbreaks that caused at least localized deforestation were noted in 1935, 1944, and 1945 (Baker and Cline 1936, Bess et al. 1947). During the 1935 outbreak, Baker and Cline (1935) found nearly 100% defoliation of Quercus rubra, Pinus strobus, and Betula populifolia Marshall around Dugway Road, at the south end of the Simes Tract. The largest outbreak, which affected at least an order of magnitude more area throughout New England than any previous one, occurred in 1981 (Allstadt et al. 2013). Impacts of the 1981 outbreak affected tree growth throughout New England (Muzika and Liebhold 1999). A smaller gypsy moth outbreak, comparable in scale to those of the 1940s and 1950s, occurred across New England in the early 1990s (Allstadt et al. 2013).

**Stand structure.** Across the eight plots in 2003–2004, 23 species had stems  $\geq 5$  cm DBH (Table 2). Of these, 12 species in five genera—*Tsuga*, *Betula*, *Quercus*, *Acer*, and *Pinus*—accounted for 98% of the 6959 individual stems measured (Table 2). Overall diameter distributions had characteristic "reverse-J," or right-skewed shapes, with many small trees and few large ones; larger trees were more abundant in the hemlock plots than the hardwood plots (Figure 4). In all genera in the hemlock plots, and in all genera other than *Betula* and *Quercus* in the hardwood plots, the diameter distributions were significantly more right-skewed in the valley plots than the ridge plots (Figure 4). In both blocks, *Tsuga*, *Acer*, and *Pinus* were dominated by individuals in the smallest diameter classes, whereas *Betula* and *Quercus* had more individuals in intermediate-size diameter classes than in the smallest diameter classes (Figure 4).

Although *Tsuga canadensis* accounted for > 60% of the stems and basal area in the six hemlock plots (Table 2), the spatial arrangement of these stems was approximately spatially uniform only in plots on the ridge (Table 4, Figure 5). In the valley, *T. canadensis* stems were more clustered in the relatively low-elevation portions of the plots (Table 4, Figure 5). The other four dominant



Figure 4. Frequency distributions (number of stems/ha) in 10 cm increments of basal area of all stems  $\geq$  5 cm DBH (top row) and those of the five most abundant genera in the six hemlock plots (left column) and two hardwood plots (right column) of the Harvard Forest Hemlock Removal Experiment. The light and dark grey bars are the number of stems/ha in each diameter class in the plots in the valley or ridge block, respectively; asterisks before the genus name

Table 4. Departure from complete spatial randomness (CSR) in the spatial distributions of the dominant genera in the eight plots of the Harvard Forest Hemlock Removal Experiment. Plots 1–6 were hemlock-dominated, and plots 7–8 were hardwood-dominated (see Figures 4, 5; Table 2). For each genus, we indicate either the location (by compass quadrant) in the plot where the highest density of plants occurred or CSR, if the species was distributed randomly in space; followed by interplant distance (maximum = 22.5 m) at which significant clustering (p = 0.01, Ripley's *K* test) of stems was observed (or na for CSR).

			Genus		
Plot	Tsuga	Betula	Quercus	Acer	Pinus
Valle	y block				
1	NW; >15 m	NW; >3 m	E; >6 m	NE; >5 m	CSR; na
2	SW; >10 m	SE; 12–16 m	SE; >7 m	SE; >20 m	E; >5 m
3	NE; >7 m	NW; >4 m	Center; >7 m	N; 8–12 m	E; 12–21 m
8	E; 0–5 m	NW; >6 m	S; >7 m	NE; >12 m	SW; $> 8 \text{ m}$
Ridg	e block				
4	CSR; na	NW; >5 m	SE; 17–18 m	CSR; na	CSR; na
5	CSR; na	SW; >8 m	CSR; na	CSR; na	CSR; na
6	SW; >17 m	S; >3 m	CSR; na	CSR; na	NE; >5 m
7	E; >2 m	Center; >5 m	S; >10 m	W; >7 m	NW; >7 m

genera also had clustered spatial distributions in the valley plots: *Quercus, Acer*, and *Pinus strobus* clustered in higher sections of the plot, whereas *Betula* dominated where the other four genera were less dense. In the ridge plots dominated by hemlock (plots 4–6), *T. canadensis, Q. rubra, A. rubrum*, and *P. strobus* were approximately spatially uniformly distributed in at least two-thirds of the plots, whereas *B. lenta* had clumped distributions (Figure 5, Table 4).

All genera showed some degree of spatial clustering in the two hardwood plots (plots 7, 8; Figure 6; Table 4). In the valley hardwood plot (8), *Tsuga canadensis* (and *Acer* spp.) again clustered in the lower, eastern part of the plot, whereas the other hardwoods and *Pinus strobus* clustered in the higher, western or southern parts of the plot. In contrast, in the ridge hardwood plot (7), *T. canadensis* clustered in the eastern, higher part of the plot, and the

←

<sup>(</sup>or "All") indicate that the shapes of the diameter distributions differed significantly (p < 0.001; Kolmogorov-Smirnov test) between the ridge and valley plots. The black line is the overall diameter distribution (plots pooled across the two blocks).



Figure 5. Maps of the hemlock plots in the valley (left column) and ridge (right column). In each column, plots are arrayed from north (top) to south (bottom); hence the valley plots (left) are ordered (top to bottom) 1 to 3, whereas the ridge plots (right) are ordered (top to bottom) 6 to 4. Relative topographic relief in each plot is shown in gray-scale, from white (relatively high elevation) to 40% gray (mean elevation) to 80% gray (relatively low elevation)



Figure 6. Maps of the hardwood plots in the valley (plot 8: left) and ridge (plot 7: right). Relative topographic relief in each plot is shown in gray-scale, from white (relatively high elevation) to 40% gray (mean elevation) to 80% gray (relatively low elevation) contours. Genera are identified by different colors (key stripe at bottom of plot). The central square in each plot map is the 30 m  $\times$  30 m area from which trees were sampled for dendrochronological analysis.

hardwoods and *P. strobus* clustered in the lower parts of the plot (Figure 6; Table 4).

In both blocks, the distance at which clustering was observed began for many of the genera at 5-7 m (Table 4). That is, a relatively small "window" (e.g., a circle with diameter of 5-7 m) moved across the plot captured clusters of trees growing close together (< 5 m apart).

**Dendrochronology.** In 2005, the *Tsuga canadensis* trees in the central  $30 \times 30$  m areas of the six hemlock-dominated plots were predominantly 45–65 y old, having recruited in the two decades following the 1938 hurricane (Figure 7A, B). The oldest trees,

←

contours. Genera are identified by different colors (key stripe at bottom of plot). The central square in each plot map is the 30 m  $\times$  30 m area from which trees were sampled for dendrochronological analysis.



Figure 7. Relationships between tree age (relative to 2005) and tree diameter of the five dominant genera (*Tsuga, Acer, Betula, Quercus, Pinus*; color-coded as in Figures 5 and 6) in the central 30 m  $\times$  30 m areas of the hemlock (top row) and hardwood (bottom row) plots in the valley (left column) and ridge (right column) blocks. Marginal histograms show age (top and bottom) and diameter (left and right) distributions. Dark lines in each panel are the local regression (loess) relationship between tree age and diameter for all species pooled; shaded area is the 95% confidence interval. Years shown above the x-axis are endpoints of observed recruitment (as of 2005) and the 1938 hurricane.

approaching 140 y old, were the hemlocks in the valley block (Figure 7A). The ridge plots also had an older cohort of hemlocks that was not harvested during the 1920s and 1930s (Figure 7B). In the hemlock-dominated plots on both the ridge and in the valley, no sampled *Pinus strobus* were more than 100 y old. The few hardwoods in these hemlock plots spanned most of the age classes. In these plots, the *Quercus* spp. all established before the 1938

hurricane. Acer and Betula spp. were of intermediate size classes and had a varied age structure; recruitment of these genera peaked in the 1930s and 1940s, most noticeably on the ridge. In contrast, the sampled trees in the two hardwood-dominated plots were all young and dominated by birch species that had established in the 1940s (Figure 7C, 7D). In these two plots, only one large tree, a 95 y old *P. strobus* on the ridge, pre-dated the 1938 hurricane (Figure 7D). The relationship between tree age and tree diameter in three of the four areas was monotonically increasing (Figure 7A, 7C–D), but was more hump-shaped on the ridge (Figure 7B). This latter pattern reflected the co-occurrence of many small but old, and large but young, *T. canadensis* individuals in these plots (Figure 7B).

Species-specific relative growth responses to environmental changes and episodic disturbances were similar in the hemlock and hardwood plots, but varied with block (Figure 8). Tsuga canadensis provided the longest dendrochronological record in both blocks. In the valley block, relative growth rate of T. canadensis increased steadily in the late 1800s and early 1900s, reaching a maximum of 10–50 mm<sup>2</sup>  $\cdot$  mm<sup>-2</sup>  $\cdot$  y<sup>-1</sup>, and appeared to be little affected by any disturbances before 1938. There was only modest evidence of increased relative growth of these hemlocks after the 1938 hurricane and, then, a pronounced steady decline in relative growth rate began in the 1950s (Figure 8, top left). In contrast, hemlocks on the ridge showed a dramatic increase in relative growth rate following the 1907–1914 drought. Thereafter, relative growth rate of these trees declined steadily beginning in the mid-1920s and was unaffected by the 1938 hurricane, but did show a short-lived increase following the 1981 gypsy moth outbreak (Figure 8, top right).

Although as a group they were substantially younger than the hemlocks, *Pinus strobus* and the three common hardwoods appeared to be more responsive to episodic environmental perturbations than the hemlocks (Figure 8). In both blocks, *P. strobus* relative growth rate declined after both droughts and the 1938 hurricane.

Relative growth rates of *Betula lenta* and *Acer rubrum* declined during the early 20th-century drought and recovered rapidly thereafter; *Quercus rubra* responded less strongly to this drought, and none of the hardwoods appeared to be strongly affected by the drought in the early 1960s. Relative growth rates of both *Q. rubra* 



Figure 8. Relative basal area growth  $(rBAI_n \text{ in } mm^2 \cdot mm^{-2} \cdot y^{-1})$  of *Tsuga* canadensis, Betula lenta, Quercus rubra, Acer rubrum, and Pinus strobus sampled in the central 30 m × 30 m areas of plots in the valley (left column) and ridge (right column) blocks. Each light line represents the relative growth trajectory of an individual tree in the six hemlock (light grey) or hardwood (light blue) plots; corresponding thick lines are the average decadal (10 y moving average) relative basal area growth in these plots. Pink shading and black vertical lines

and *B. lenta* declined during the 1981 gypsy-moth outbreak but recovered rapidly thereafter. By 2005, all trees were growing relatively slowly.

**Models of annual tree growth.** General additive models fit to the  $rBAI_n$  data (Figures 9 and 10) buttressed the conclusions drawn from observations of decadal patterns (Figure 8), but provided some additional insights into patterns of tree growth and effects of acute environmental perturbations.

The first model (Equation 2) fit annual tree relative growth rate as a function of tree AGE, but included an effect of YEAR that was considered to be independent of tree AGE (recall that using relative growth rate,  $rBAI_n$ , implicitly adjusts the data for tree size). This model suggested that Tsuga canadensis, Betula lenta, Acer rubrum, and Pinus strobus all showed similar age-dependent patterns of relative growth (Figure 9, left column):  $rBAI_n$  declined rapidly following initial establishment, then increased in  $\sim 40-70$  y old trees, and then declined again. Quercus rubra, however, showed a more monotonic decline in relative growth rate. Estimation of the YEAR effect suggested that for each species, there were good and bad years (Figure 9, right column), but recall that Equation 2 estimated the YEAR effect independently of tree age. This model suggested that growth of all species responded strongly and positively to the end of the 1907-1914 drought and the concurrent elimination of Castanea dentata. The effect of the 1938 hurricane was not especially pronounced when using this model, although both A. rubrum and P. strobus had somewhat better growth years in 1939 and 1940 than in 1938. The effect of the gypsy-moth outbreak was clearly apparent in a strong negative growth signal for *Quercus* rubra and a strong positive growth signal for P. strobus. Finally, although there was an overall negative effect of tree age on growth of Q. rubra in general, most years since 1918 (other than 1981 and perhaps 2000) have been good growth years for Q. rubra.

The second model (Equation 3) removed the assumption that the effect of YEAR was independent of AGE. The results of this model

←

denote, from left to right in each column, the major region-wide forest disturbances and the episode of logging across the Tract: 1907–1914 drought and 1910–1915 chestnut blight; 1925–1932 logging; 1938 hurricane; 1962–1966 drought; and the 1981 gypsy moth outbreak.



Figure 9. General additive models (Equation 2) fit to relative growth in basal area ( $rBAI_n$ ) of *Tsuga canadensis*, *Betula lenta*, *Quercus rubra*, *Acer rubrum*, and *Pinus strobus* sampled at the Simes Tract. To maximize power of these models, we pooled individuals among plots and blocks. These models

showed more clearly that what appeared as "good" and "bad" years in Figure 9 were not always good (or bad) years for all individuals of a given species. For *Tsuga canadensis*, most individuals had similar relative growth patterns (Figure 10, top left) and the mid-1920s were high relative growth years for most individuals (red area in contour plot of Figure 10, top right). In contrast, only older *Betula lenta* and *Acer rubrum* trees were released in the 1920s and only younger individuals exhibited increased growth in the 1940s–1960s (Figure 10, second row and bottom row). Younger *Quercus rubra* grew more rapidly following the 1938 hurricane and all age classes grew slowly after the 1981 gypsy moth outbreak, but only younger trees resumed rapid growth after that (Figure 10, middle row). Finally, both young and old *Pinus strobus* grew rapidly following the 1938 hurricane, but intermediate-aged trees grew more slowly.

For all five species, the model that estimated changes in  $rBAI_n$  as a function of both AGE and YEAR (Equation 3) fit the relative growth data significantly better than the model that estimated changes in  $rBAI_n$  as a function of AGE only (Equation 2; Table 5). Deviance, accounted for by the former, better-fitting model, ranged from 25% (*Tsuga canadensis* and *Acer rubrum*) to 45% (*Betula lenta*; Table 5).

#### DISCUSSION

Our overriding goal with this study was to understand how establishment, stand structure, and growth dynamics of forest tree species has led to the dominance of a foundation forest species— *Tsuga canadensis*—that controls population and community dynamics of associated species and key forest ecosystem processes

←

assumed that there was a common effect of year, regardless of tree age (right column), but that tree growth varied with individual age of the tree (left column: solid line is the estimated age effect and the dotted lines are at two standard errors of the estimate—an approximate 95% confidence interval). On the five plots in the right column, the shading and vertical dotted grey lines denote, from left to right, the major region-wide forest disturbances and the episode of logging across the Tract: 1907–1914 drought and 1910–1915 chestnut blight; 1925–1932 logging; 1938 hurricane; 1962–1966 drought; and the 1981 gypsy moth outbreak.



Figure 10. Line plots (left) of observed annual relative growth in basal area (*r*BAI<sub>n</sub>) for individual trees and the corresponding contour plots (right) predicted by the two-dimensional smoothing spline model (Equation 3) for *Tsuga canadensis, Betula lenta, Quercus rubra, Acer rubrum,* and *Pinus strobus* sampled at the Simes Tract. The model (results in right column) assumes that the effect of year on growth varies with tree age. To maximize power of these models, we pooled individuals among plots and blocks. In each of the line plots of the raw data (left), the trees are ordered left-to-right from oldest to youngest; age of a tree increases up the diagonal line, and color indicates annual *r*BAI<sub>n</sub> (mm<sup>2</sup> · mm<sup>-2</sup> · y<sup>-1</sup>) from 0 (yellow) to 100 (dark red). On all plots, the pink

Table 5. Comparison of fits of general additive models (GAMS) shown in Figures 9 and 10. For each species, values given are the percent deviance explained by the model relative to a null (intercept-only) model and the AIC value. The "better" of the models explains a higher percentage of the deviance and has a lower AIC value.

	$BAI_n = Year$	r + s(Age)	$BAI_n = s(Y$	$BAI_n = s(Year, Age)$		
	Deviance	AIC	Deviance	AIC		
Tsuga canadensis	24.5	65388	24.9	65106		
Betula lenta	37.9	13176	45.3	12728		
Quercus rubra	33.3	7989	41.7	7671		
Acer rubrum	25.8	13156	26.0	12968		
Pinus strobus	29.7	7227	39.4	6930		

(e.g., Ellison and Baiser 2014; Ellison et al. 2010; Ellison, Orwig, and Barker Plotkin 2014; Orwig et al. 2013). Our interpretation of the importance of T. canadensis in contemporary forests has come from a range of observational and experimental studies where it has dominated stands in terms of abundance and biomass, but where it now is declining and dying (or has already died) due to infestation by the hemlock woolly adelgid (e.g., Brooks 2001; Ellison et al. 2010; Eschtruth et al. 2006; Ford et al. 2012; Krapfl et al. 2011; Nuckolls et al. 2009; Orwig et al. 2002, 2008, 2012; Small et al. 2005; Yorks et al. 2003). However, there can be long phases in forest stands when T. canadensis has few large individuals or many suppressed stems in the understory and, thus, plays only a minor role in overall forest dynamics. Therefore, it is unclear whether current (or recent) conditions (i.e., T. canadensis as a foundation species) are one-time or transient (in forest time) phenomena, or illustrate more general long-term patterns and trends.

Oliver and Stephens (1977) and Foster et al. (1998) showed convincingly that a deeper understanding of contemporary forest dynamics can be achieved when data on recent and historical land use are incorporated into analyses of data and their interpretation. Perhaps nowhere in North America is the history of environmental

<del>(</del>

vertical shading and black vertical lines denote, from left to right, the major region-wide forest disturbances and the episode of logging across the Tract: 1907–1914 drought and 1910–1915 chestnut blight; 1925–1932 logging; 1938 hurricane; 1962–1966 drought; and the 1981 gypsy moth outbreak.

change and land use as well documented as it is in New England (e.g., Foster and Aber 2004; Foster and Zebryk 1993; Fuller et al. 1998; Kelty 1986; McLachlan et al. 2000). Throughout this region, there has been a long history of human activity. These land-use changes, together with episodic disturbances such as drought or hurricanes, and the arrival and establishment of nonnative insects and pathogens, have interacted with continuous variability in background conditions. Prior to the data presented here, however, detailed information on land-use history was unavailable for any of the experimental sites where effects of loss of *Tsuga canadensis* have been studied.

Land-use history of the Simes Tract in the regional context. The historical uses of the Simes Tract (Figure 2; Table 3; Appendix) contrast strongly with those reported previously for many forested sites now dominated by Tsuga canadensis in central New England: the hemlock woodlot studied by Foster et al. (1992) and Foster and Zebryk (1993) and four sites at Harvard Forest reconstructed by McLachlan et al. (2000). The hemlock woodlot was, as early as 1830, an isolated woodland within an agricultural landscape (Foster et al. 1992); it was part of the earliest land divisions of Petersham (Coolidge 1948) and was maintained as a woodlot as the surrounding parcels were aggregated into a larger landholding in the late 1800s and early 1900s (Foster et al. 1992; Foster and Zebryk 1993). The sites studied by McLachlan et al. (2000) are considered to be some of the oldest and least-disturbed sites on the Prospect Hill and Slab City Tracts (see Figure 1 for locations of these tracts), as well as within the least-disturbed forest blocks in Petersham.

In contrast, the Chamberlain/Towne and Gleason Farms, portions of which now form the Simes Tract (Figure 2), were part of the third and fourth (last) divisions of land that were parceled out 30–50 years after Petersham was first settled (Coolidge 1948). At one extreme, the Gleason Farm was amassed quickly and then remained virtually intact from the time Petersham was parceled out through its purchase by Olive Simes (Appendix). At the other extreme, the Chamberlain Farm was subdivided, sold, resold, reaggregated, and re-divided more than two dozen times before it passed into the Simes family in the 1920s (Appendix). These ownership patterns differ from the regional pattern of modest subdivision following settlement, followed by aggregation into large

holdings in the late 1800s (described by Foster 1992), coincident with the decline in Petersham's population (Figure 3).

Both the Chamberlain and Gleason Farms were used heavily. They were on the main roads to the towns of Dana and New Salem. respectively (Figures 1, 2), and had houses, barns, fences for keeping in livestock, cart roads to move them to market, orchards, and a cider mill that figured prominently in deed transactions for nearly 100 years. Although substantial portions of the tract were listed as "forested" in the 1830 Massachusetts forest survey (Figure 2; Hall et al. 2002), not a single tree that we cored was older than 145 years (in 2005), and most were much younger (Figure 7). Much of the northern half of the Simes Tract was partially logged between 1925 and 1932, and the better timber of the southern half was logged first in the 1880s and again in 1932. Even though two of our experimental plots (2 and 3) straddled the boundary of the two historic farmsteads (Figure 2), there were no obvious differences in either sides of the plots related to these different farms. Rather, it appears that effects on stand dynamics of the logging in the late 19th and early 20th centuries and the 1938 hurricane have overshadowed the effects of earlier land use.

The spatial patterning (or lack thereof) of trees on the ridge and in the valley may reflect both topography and this history of logging. In the valley, most of the genera exhibited significant departures from uniform spatial patterns, but the clusters did not match directly with topography (Table 4; Figures 5, 6). For example, Tsuga canadensis in plot 1 was much denser in the northwest corner of the plot, within and near a forested wetland but, in plot 2, it was clustered in the southwest, on relatively higher and drier ground. On the ridge, the five dominant genera were spatially uniformly distributed in just over half of the plots (Figures 5, 6; Table 4). We observed that in both blocks, trees were growing quite close together (the distance at which clustering was observed began for many of the genera at 5–7 m; Table 4). Because inter-tree competition and self-thinning should lead to greater interplant distance and more regular spacing, the data on clustering and interplant distances (Table 4) suggest that little selfthinning has yet occurred in these plots. In combination, these results suggest that on the ridge, either site conditions in these plots were relatively uniform or, as a consequence of repeated episodes of logging and other disturbances, these relatively young trees had not yet been subject to environmental filtering or self-thinning that would result in tighter spatial linkages between trees and fine-scale environmental conditions.

The age structure at the Simes Tract in 2005 was similar to that described by Oliver and Stephens (1977) from a 0.36 ha sample of Tsuga canadensis in Compartment VI of Harvard Forest's Tom Swamp Tract. At Tom Swamp, most trees were 40-80 years old in the early 1950s; similarly at the Simes Tract, most were 40-80 years old in 2005. Stands at both Tom Swamp and the Simes Tract also had right-skewed diameter distributions, with only a few T. canadensis individuals exceeding 60 cm DBH (Figures 4, 7; Oliver and Stephens 1977). And similar to the Simes Tract, the Tom Swamp plot was repeatedly logged, salvaged after wind-throws and hurricanes, and defoliated by gypsy moths (Oliver and Stephens 1977). The west-facing Tom Swamp compartment was less affected by the 1938 hurricane (Oliver and Stephens 1977) than was the Simes Tract (Table 3), but both appear to be representative of forest stands whose current composition reflects "allogenic influences" (Oliver and Stephens 1977). In sum, the Simes Tract, like much of the southern New England landscape, reflects not only large-scale infrequent disturbances including hurricanes and irruptions of herbivorous insects and pathogens but, also (unlike the more thoroughly studied older T. canadensis-dominated stands in central Massachusetts), centuries of substantial anthropogenic use.

**Dendrochronological patterns, environmental changes, and episodic disturbances.** The contemporary forests of the Simes Tract reflect well the general equability of the regional climate over the last 150 years, and relative growth rate ( $rBAI_n$ ) of all five common species of trees generally ranged from 1–10 mm<sup>2</sup> · mm<sup>-2</sup>.  $y^{-1}$  (Figure 8). Annual mean, minimum, and maximum temperatures since the late 1800s were relatively constant until the early 1960s; warming since 1964 has been more pronounced in the Petersham record than the Amherst record (Figure 3). Of all the taxa examined in detail, only *Acer rubrum* showed a strong positive increase in relative growth in the last decade, most notably in the hemlock-dominated plots (4–6) on the ridge (Figure 8). This pattern is further illustrated by the positive "spike" in the effect of YEAR on *A. rubrum* growth observed in the last decade, an effect that is not seen for the other four dominant species (Figure 9).

Droughts have been infrequent, and even the two apparent multiyear droughts in the climatic record [1907–1914 and 1962–1966 (Figure 3)] were only "moderate" ( $-2 \le PDSI \le -3$ ; Palmer 1965). We note that the PDSI was first developed for semi-arid and dry sub-humid regions (Palmer 1965) and its effects on tree growth in more temperate or uniformly wet areas has not been examined often. When PDSI has been used in studies of temperate forests, moderate droughts have been associated with changes in growth of Ouercus species (Copenheaver et al. 2011; Orwig and Abrams 1997; Pederson et al. 2012; Speer et al. 2010; Wycoff and Bowers 2010), Carva glabra Miller (Orwig and Abrams 1997), Liriodendron tulipifera L. (Orwig and Abrams 1997; Pederson et al. 2012), and Fraxinus quadrangulata Michx. (Pederson et al. 2012). We similarly observed that declines in Quercus relative growth corresponded with drought events (Figure 9): on the ridge following the 1907-1914 drought, and in the valley following the 1960s drought (Figure 8). This is one signal that might be used to differentiate the negative effect of drought from the positive effect that would have been expected when Castanea dentata was killed by chestnut blight. However, because the drought ended just as chestnut blight was at its peak, the rebound in growth seen on the ridge in the 1920s probably reflects both improved weather conditions and reduced competition from the now-dead C. dentata.

In contrast, relative growth trajectories of *Tsuga canadensis* changed little following either of these short-term droughts (Figure 8). Pederson et al. (2012) found a similar lack of association between *T. canadensis* growth and PDSI, even though this species is considered to be quite drought-sensitive (Godman and Lancaster 1990). However, the short-term precipitation changes during both the early 20th-century and the early 1960s drought (Figure 3) are similar in magnitude to the centuries-long drought observed during, and correlated with, the region-wide die-off of *T. canadensis ca.* 5500 years ago (Marsicek et al. 2013).

The most noticeable periods of increased relative growth were the years following the chestnut blight (1910–1915) and the logging of 1925–1932 (Figures 8–10). This may also reflect reduced competition from understory shrubs removed during the campaign to eradicate white-pine blister rust. On the drier ridge, *Tsuga canadensis, Quercus rubra*, and *Acer rubrum* all exhibited increased *rBAI<sub>n</sub>* after the chestnut blight, and *Pinus strobus* in both blocks grew rapidly after the logging. Only *P. strobus* showed pronounced increases in relative growth rate following the 1938 hurricane. Most of the other trees on the Simes Tract would have been small and

young at the time; the larger trees had been thinned out in 1932 (Figure 2) with the express goal of increasing growth of understory *P. strobus*; the few remaining large *P. strobus* and hardwoods were damaged or destroyed by the hurricane (Table 3).

The last episodic disturbance was the large gypsy moth outbreak of 1981. On the ridge, this outbreak strongly and negatively affected relative growth of *Quercus rubra* whereas growth of *Tsuga canadensis* concomitantly increased (Figures 8–9). Growth of *Q. rubra* was similarly depressed in the hardwood plot in the valley (Figure 8), where *Betula lenta* and *Pinus strobus* showed concomitant growth increases. The smaller gypsy moth outbreaks of 1935, 1944, 1945, and 1991 (Allstadt et al. 2013; Baker and Cline 1936, Bess et al. 1947) had no perceptible effects on long-term (decadally-averaged) tree growth at the Simes Tract (Figures 9–10).

Tsuga canadensis is generally considered a slow growing, shadetolerant, late successional species, but it retains its ability to grow after decades of suppression in the understory and can respond quickly to multiple, small disturbances (Marshall 1927). At the Simes Tract, T. canadensis responded rapidly and uniformly to the various environmental changes (see also Marshall 1927; Orwig and Abrams 1999). For example, T. canadensis on the ridge had the largest increase in relative growth rate after the drought (1907-1914) and chestnut blight (1910-1915), and the logging of 1925-1932 (Figure 8, top row); some large individuals (> 65 cm DBH) were only 60-115 years old (Figure 7). Joint modeling of age- and year-effects (Equation 3) revealed more clearly that all age classes of T. canadensis responded positively to the openings created by these disturbances (Figure 10). In contrast, all of the other common species showed age-specific growth responses in particular years and to different disturbances (Figure 10; Table 5).

Overall, our joint model, which included both age- and yeareffects, accounted for 25-45% of the variance in growth of each species. Comparable modeling studies are few, but all suggest that including individual tree effects (as in Equation 3, Figure 10) improves the fit of the model to the available data. Ryan et al. (1994), using a mixed linear model, were able to account for only 20% of the variance in growth (specific volume increments from tree rings) of *Acer saccharum*. Most of this explained variance (60%) was attributable to differences among individual trees (as we illustrated for our data in Figure 10), and the remaining was attributed to a combination of precipitation and temperature. In contrast, Lapointe-Garant et al. (2010) were able to account for 76% of the variance in growth (absolute ring width) of *Populus tremuloides* Michx., using a mixed model to separate out effects of climate, soil, and insects while accounting for differences among individual trees. Like Ryan et al. (1994), the majority (54%) of the explained variance was accounted for by differences among trees. The remaining variance was due to climate (20%), soils (16%), and insects (6%). Other age-based models reported only root mean-square errors (Rohner et al. 2013) or Bayesian posterior prediction intervals (Ogle et al. 2000), which Cecile et al. (2013) point out are not directly comparable with the results of Ryan et al. (1994) or Lapointe-Garant et al. (2010). By inference, they also would not be directly comparable with our results.

Conclusions. The idea that Tsuga canadensis is a foundation species—a species that controls abundance and dynamics of associated species and modulates key ecosystem processes (Dayton 1972; Ellison, Bank, et al. 2005)—implies that the dominance of T. canadensis is as long lasting as the foundation of a building (Ellison and Baiser 2014). Foresters and vegetation scientists recognize the dominance (or at least co-dominance) of T. canadensis in a range of late successional vegetation types. In fact, T. canadensis is considered to be the dominant component of more forest associations (14) than any other tree species [Federal Geographic Data Committee (FGDC) 2013]. It also is the sub-dominant component of 8 (of a total of 68) associations in the Northern Hardwood-Hemlock-White Pine Forest Group (T163) of the Eastern North American Cool Temperate Forest Division (1.B.2.NA) of the U.S. National Vegetation Classification (FGDC 2008; Jennings et al. 2009). Over the last 150 years, repeated abiotic and biotic disturbances (Figure 3) may have accelerated the transition from early-successional woodlands to late-successional hemlock forests at the Simes Tract and elsewhere in south-central New England. Because T. canadensis can respond rapidly and positively to canopy-opening disturbances and some environmental changes, it can come to dominate a site like the Simes Tract within only a few decades. This flexibility may, in part, help explain why so much of New England's old-growth forests are dominated by T. canadensis (D'Amato and Orwig 2008; D'Amato et al. 2009).

Nonetheless, the dominance of *Tsuga canadensis* varies through time and, hence, its role as a foundation species in our forests likely

changes through time as well. *Tsuga canadensis* declined rapidly throughout its range *ca.* 5500 years ago and it took nearly 1000 years for it to attain its pre-decline levels of abundance in the pollen record (Foster et al. 2006; Marsicek et al. 2013). Paleoecological data combined with historical records illustrate clearly that *T. canadensis*, although once widespread, may not have dominated forest stands, in either the centuries prior to European colonization or during the 1700s to late 1800s, to the extent that it does today (e.g., Fuller et al. 1998). Deeper layers of the soil seed bank in the HF-HeRE plots have a preponderance of graminoids and ruderal species (Farnsworth et al. 2012; Sullivan and Ellison 2006) that likely reflect the past agricultural uses of the Simes Tract.

We conclude from this site-specific but detailed case study, that the foundation created by *Tsuga canadensis* in this south-central New England forest developed at a particular time, as a result of specific historical events and decisions by individual people and, although strong, is impermanent. Likewise, the responses of cooccurring species and ecosystem dynamics to its loss from these forests are resulting from the unique temporal confluence of *T. canadensis* dominance, overlain on historical patterns of land use and environmental change. Although *T. canadensis* recovered its dominance relatively rapidly from disturbances in the last 150 years, it will take at least another 150 years to determine whether it will rebound—in a rapidly changing climate—from its current decline caused by the hemlock woolly adelgid (Foster 2014).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS. We thank Brian Hall for producing the maps shown in Figures 1 and 2, Grace Barber for assistance with deed research, Elaine Doughty and Julie Pallant for digitizing and making publicly available the relevant files from the Harvard Forest Document Archive, and Jamey Guess and Chenyu Wang for initial coding of the GAMS and plots shown in Figures 9 and 10. Portions of this work were included in Hampshire College Division II and Division III projects of co-author Peter B. Kerson. Franco Biondi, Ally Degrassi, Elizabeth Farnsworth, David Foster, Joe Kendrick, Neil Pederson, Jonathan Thompson, Larry Winship, members of the Harvard Forest weekly lab group, and two anonymous reviewers provided helpful discussions at various stages of the project or comments on the draft manuscript. This research is a publication of the Harvard Forest Long Term Ecological Research Program, and was supported by NSF grants 0620443 and 1237491.

#### LITERATURE CITED

- ABER, J. D. AND J. M. MELILLO. 1991. Terrestrial Ecosystems. Saunders College Publishing, Philadelphia, PA.
- ALLSTADT, A. J., K. J. HAYNES, A. M. LIEBHOLD, AND D. M. JOHNSON. 2013. Long-term shifts in the cyclicity of outbreaks of a forest-defoliating insect. Oecologia 172: 141–151.
- APPLEQUIST, M. B. 1958. A simple pith locator for use with off-center increment cores. J. Forest. (Washington, DC) 56: 141.
- BADDELEY, A. AND R. TURNER. 2005. spatstat: An R package for analyzing spatial point patterns. J. Statist. Softw. 12: 1–42.
- BAKER, W. L. AND A. C. CLINE. 1935. A study of the gypsy moth in the town of Petersham, Massachusetts in 1935. Harvard Forest Document Archive 1935–01. Available online (http://tinyurl.com/o87wson). Accessed May 2014.
- AND . 1936. A study of the gypsy moth in the town of Petersham, Mass., in 1935. J. Forest. (Washington, DC) 34: 759–765.
- BESS, H. A., S. H. SPURR, AND E. W. LITTLEFIELD. 1947. Forest site conditions and the gypsy moth. Bull. Harvard Forest 22, Petersham, MA.
- BIONDI, F. AND F. QEADAN. 2008. A theory-driven approach to tree-ring standardization: Defining the biological trend from expected basal area increment. Tree-Ring Res. 64: 91–96.
- Boose, E. 2001. Fisher meteorological station at Harvard Forest since 2001. Harvard Forest Data Archive: HF001. Website (http://tinyurl.com/ oqbnae6). Accessed Dec 2013.
  - AND E. GOULD. 1999. Shaler meteorological station at Harvard Forest 1964–2002. Harvard Forest Data Archive: HF000. Website (http://tinyurl. com/q45bkoo). Accessed Dec 2013.
- BRADSHAW, R. H. W. AND N. G. MILLER. 1988. Recent successional processes investigated by pollen analysis of closed forest sites. Vegetatio 76: 45–54.
- BROOKS, R. T. 2001. Effects of the removal of overstory hemlock from hemlockdominated forests on eastern redback salamanders. Forest Ecol. Managem. 149: 197–204.
- BURNHAM, K. P. AND D. R. ANDERSON. 2002. Model Selection and Multimodel Inference: A Practical Information-Theoretic Approach, 2nd ed. Springer-Verlag, New York, NY.
- CECILE, J., C. PAGNUTTI, AND M. ANAND. 2013. A likelihood perspective on treering standardization: Eliminating modern sample bias. Clim. Past Discuss. 9: 4499–4551.
- COBB, R. S., D. A. ORWIG, AND S. CURRIE. 2006. Decomposition of green foliage in eastern hemlock forests of southern New England impacted by hemlock woolly adelgid populations. Canad. J. Forest Res. 36: 1–11.
- COOK, E. R. AND P. J. KRUSIC. 2004. The North American drought atlas. Lamont-Doherty Earth Observatory and the National Science Foundation. Website (http://tinyurl.com/ourc2b8). Accessed Dec 2013.
- —, D. M. MEKO, D. W. STAHLE, AND M. K. CLEAVELAND. 1999. Drought reconstructions for the continental United States. J. Clim. 12: 1145–1162.
- COOLIDGE, M. C. 1948. The History of Petersham, Massachusetts. The Powell Press, Hudson, MA.

- COPENHEAVER, C. A., C. J. CRAWFORD, AND T. M. FEARER. 2011. Age-specific responses to climate identified in the growth of *Quercus alba*. Trees (Berlin) 25: 647–653.
- D'AMATO, A. W. AND D. A. ORWIG. 2008. Stand and landscape-level disturbance dynamics in old-growth forests in western Massachusetts. Ecol. Monogr. 78: 507–522.

—, —, AND D. R. FOSTER. 2009. The influence of successional processes and disturbance on the structure of *Tsuga canadensis* forests. Ecol. Applic. 18: 1182–1199.

- DAYTON, P. K. 1972. Toward an understanding of community resilience and the potential effects of enrichments to the benthos at McMurdo Sound, Antarctica, pp. 81–95. *In*: B. C. Parker, ed., Proceedings of the Colloquium on Conservation Problems in Antarctica. Allen Press, Lawrence, KS.
- DILLING, C., P. LAMBDIN, J. GRANT, AND L. BUCK. 2007. Insect guild structure associated with eastern hemlock in the southern Appalachians. Environm. Entomol. 36: 1408–1414.
- ELLIOTT, K. J., J. M. VOSE, W. T. SWANK, AND P. V. BOLSTAD. 1999. Long-term patterns in vegetation-site relationships in a southern Appalachain forest. J. Torrey Bot. Soc. 126: 320–334.
- ELLISON, A. M. AND B. BAISER. 2014. Hemlock as a foundation species, pp. 93–104. *In*: D. R. Foster, ed., Hemlock: A Forest Giant's Life in the Shade and on the Edge. Yale University Press, New Haven, CT.
  - —, M. S. BANK, B. D. CLINTON, AND E. A. COLBURN, ET AL. 2005. Loss of foundation species: Consequences for the structure and dynamics of forested ecosystems. Frontiers Ecol. Environm. 3: 479–486.
  - AND A. A. BARKER PLOTKIN. 2009. Coarse woody debris in hemlock removal experiment at Harvard Forest since 2005. Harvard Forest Data Archive: HF125. Website (http://tinyurl.com/ptukh7z). Accessed Jan 2014.
  - —, —, D. R. FOSTER, AND D. A. ORWIG. 2010. Experimentally testing the role of foundation species in forests: The Harvard Forest Hemlock Removal Experiment. Methods Ecol. Evol. 1: 168–179.
  - —, J. CHEN, D. DÍAZ, C. KAMMERER-BURNHAM, AND M. LAU. 2005. Changes in ant community structure and composition associated with hemlock decline in New England, pp. 280–289. *In*: B. Onken AND R. Reardon, eds., Proceedings of the 3rd Symposium on Hemlock Woolly Adelgid in the Eastern United States. U.S. Forest Service – Forest Health Technology Enterprise Team, Morgantown, WV.
  - —, D. A. ORWIG, AND A. A. BARKER PLOTKIN. 2014. Cut or girdle, pp. 136–152. *In*: D. R. Foster, ed., Hemlock: A Forest Giant's Life in the Shade and on the Edge. Yale University Press, New Haven, CT.
- ESCHTRUTH, A. K., N. L. CLEAVITT, J. J. BATTLES, R. A. EVANS, AND T. J. FAHEY. 2006. Vegetation dynamics in declining eastern hemlock stands: Nine years of forest response to hemlock woolly adelgid infestation. Canad. J. Forest Res. 36: 1435–1450.
- FARNSWORTH, E. J., A. A. BARKER PLOTKIN, AND A. M. ELLISON. 2012. The relative contributions of seed bank, seed rain, and understory vegetation dynamics to the reorganization of *Tsuga canadensis* forests after loss due to logging or simulated attack by *Adelges tsugae*. Canad. J. Forest Res. 42: 2090–2105.

FEDERAL GEOGRAPHIC DATA COMMITTEE (FGDC). 2008 National vegetation classification standard, version 2. Document number FGDC-STD-005-2008. U.S. Geological Survey, Reston, VA.

— 2013. U.S. National vegetation classification standard, draft hierarchy. U.S. Geological Survey, Reston, VA. Website (http://usnvc.org/exploreclassification/). Accessed Jan 2013.

- FISHER, R. T. AND A. C. CLINE. 1944. Blister rust eradication through 1944. Harvard Forest Document Archive 1944–10, Petersham, MA. Available online (http://tinyurl.com/o259eyr). Accessed May 2014.
- FITZPATRICK, M. C., E. L. PREISSER, A. PORTER, J. ELKINTON, AND A. M. ELLISON. 2012. Modeling range dynamics in heterogeneous landscapes: Invasion of the hemlock woolly adelgid in eastern North America. Ecol. Applic. 22: 472–486.

—, —, —, —, L. A. WALLER, B. P. CARLIN, AND A. M. ELLISON. 2010. Ecological boundary detection using Bayesian areal wombling. Ecology 91: 3448–3455.

- FORD, C. R., K. J. ELLIOTT, B. D. CLINTON, B. D. KLOEPPEL, AND J. M. VOSE. 2012. Forest dynamics following eastern hemlock mortality in the southern Appalachians. Oikos 121: 523–536.
- FOSTER, D. R. 1992. Land-use and vegetation dynamics in central New England: An historical perspective. J. Ecol. 80: 753–772.
  - —, ed. 2014. Hemlock: A Forest Giant's Life in the Shade and on the Edge. Yale University Press, New Haven, CT.
    - AND J. D. ABER, eds. 2004. Forests in Time: The Environmental Consequences of 1,000 Years of Change in New England. Yale University Press, New Haven, CT.
  - AND E. R. BOOSE. 1992. Patterns of forest damage resulting from catastrophic wind in central New England, USA. J. Ecol. 80: 79–99.
  - —, G. MOTZKIN, AND B. SLATER. 1998. Land-use history as long-term broad-scale disturbance: Regional forest dynamics in central New England. Ecosystems 1: 96–119.
    - —, W. W. OSWALD, E. K. FAISON, E. D. DOUGHTY, AND B. C. S. HANSEN. 2006. A climatic driver for abrupt mid-Holocene vegetation dynamics and the hemlock decline in New England. Ecology 87: 2959–2966.
  - AND T. M. ZEBRYK. 1993. Long-term vegetation dynamics and disturbance history of a *Tsuga*-dominated forest in New England. Ecology 74: 982–998.
  - —, —, P. SCHOONMAKER, AND A. LEZBERG. 1992. Post-settlement history of human land-use and vegetation dynamics of a *Tsuga canadensis* (hemlock) woodlot in central New England. J. Ecol. 80: 773–786.
- FULLER, J. L., D. R. FOSTER, J. S. MCLACHLAN, AND N. DRAKE. 1998. Impact of human activity on regional forest composition and dynamics in central New England. Ecosystems 1: 76–95.
- GODMAN, R. M. AND K. LANCASTER. 1990. Tsuga canadensis (L.) Carr. eastern hemlock, pp. 604–612. In: R. M. Burns and B. H. Honkala, technical coordinators, Silvics of North America. Vol. 1. Conifers. U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service Agricultural Handbook 654, Washington, DC.

GOULD, E. M. 1971–1973. Resource analysis, seq. 1–189. In: Stand records for Harvard Forest Simes Lot, 1971–2006, Folders 1–6. Harvard Forest Archives online. Website (http://tinyurl.com/oqkwmmx). Accessed Feb 2013.

—. 1979. Sugarbush thinning, seq. 199–206. *In*: Stand records for Harvard Forest Simes Lot, 1971–2006, Folders 1–6. Harvard Forest Archives online. Website (http://tinyurl.com/oqkwmmx). Accessed Feb 2013.

- HADLEY, J. L., P. S. KUZEJA, M. J. DALEY, N. G. PHILLIPS, T. MULCAHY, AND S. SINGH. 2008. Water use and carbon exchange of red oak- and eastern hemlock-dominated forests in the northeastern USA: Implications for ecosystem-level effects of hemlock woolly adelgid. Tree Physiol. 28: 615–627.
- HAINES, A. 2011. Flora Novae Angliae: A Manual for the Identification of Native and Naturalized Higher Vascular Plants of New England. Yale University Press, New Haven, CT.
- HALL, B., G. MOTZKIN, D. R. FOSTER, M. SYFERT, AND J. BURK. 2002. Three hundred years of forest and land-use change in Massachusetts, USA. J. Biogeogr. 29: 1319–1335.
- INGWELL, L. L. AND E. L. PREISSER. 2011. Using citizen science programs to identify host resistance in pest-invaded forests. Conservation Biol. 25: 182–188.
- JENKINS, J. C., J. D. ABER, AND C. D. CANHAM. 1999. Hemlock woolly adelgid impacts on community structure and N cycling rates in eastern hemlock forests. Canad. J. Forest Res. 29: 630–645.
- JENNINGS, M. D., D. FABER-LANGENDOEN, O. L. LOUCKS, R. K. PEET, AND D. ROBERTS. 2009. Standards for associations and alliances of the U.S. National Vegetation Classification. Ecol. Monogr. 79: 173–199.
- JOHNSON, S. E. AND M. D. ABRAMS. 2009. Basal area increment trends across age classes for two long-lived tree species in the eastern U.S., pp. 127–134. *In*: R. Kaczka, I. Malik, P. Owczarek, H. Gärtnet, G. Helle, and I. Heinrich, eds., TRACE – Tree Rings in Archaeology, Climatology, and Ecology, Vol. 7. GFZ Potsdam, Scientific Technical Report STR 09/03, Potsdam, Germany.
- KELTY, M. J. 1986. Development patterns in two hemlock-hardwood stands in southern New England. Canad. J. Forest Res. 16: 885–891.
- KERNAN, B. 1980. Simes Tract, 1980 report, seq. 207–387. In: Stand records for Harvard Forest Simes Lot, 1971–2006, Folders 1–6. Harvard Forest Archives online. Website (http://tinyurl.com/oqkwmmx). Accessed Feb 2013.
- KITTREDGE, J., JR. 1913. Notes on the chestnut bark disease in Petersham, Mass. Bull. Harvard Forestry Club 2: 13–22.
- KNOEPP, J. D., J. M. VOSE, B. D. CLINTON, AND M. D. HUNTER. 2011. Hemlock infestation and mortality: Impacts on nutrient pools and cycling in Appalachian forests. J. Soil Sci. Soc. Amer. 75: 1935–1945.
- KRAPFL, K. J., E. J. HOLZMUELLER, AND M. A. JENKINS. 2011. Early impacts of hemlock woolly adelgid in *Tsuga canadensis* forest communities of the southern Appalachian Mountains. J. Torrey Bot. Soc. 138: 93–106.
- KUDISH, M. 1985. Forest history of Frost Valley. Adirondack Mag. April 1985: 16–18.

- LAPOINTE-GARANT, M.-P., J.-G. HUANG, G. GEA-IZQUIERDO, F. RAULIER, P. BERNIER, AND F. BERNINGER. 2010. Use of tree rings to study the effect of climate change on trembling aspen in Québec. Global Change Biol. 16: 2039–2051.
- LOVETT, G. M., C. D. CANHAM, M. A. ARTHUR, K. C. WEATHERS, AND R. D. FITZHUGH. 2006. Forest ecosystem responses to exotic pests and pathogens in eastern North America. BioScience 56: 395–405.
- MALLIS, R. E. AND L. K. RIESKE. 2011. Arboreal spiders in eastern hemlock. Environm. Entomol. 40: 1378–1387.
- MALOY, O. C. 1997. White pine blister rust control in North America: A case history. Annual Rev. Phytopathol. 35: 87–109.
- MARSHALL, R. 1927. The growth of hemlock before and after release from suppression. Harvard Forest Bull. 11, Petersham, MA.
- MARSICEK, J. P., B. SHUMAN, S. BREWER, D. R. FOSTER, AND W. W. OSWALD. 2013. Moisture and temperature changes associated with the mid-Holocene *Tsuga* decline in the northeastern United States. Quatern. Sci. Rev. 80: 129–142.
- MASSGIS (MASSACHUSETTS OFFICE OF GEOGRAPHIC INFORMATION). 1977. MassGIS data – insect infestation (BUGS). Website (http://tinyurl.com/ mgc6cnn). Accessed Dec 2013.
- MATHEWSON, B. 2009. The relative abundance of eastern red-backed salamanders in eastern hemlock-dominated and mixed deciduous forests at Harvard Forest. N. E. Naturalist 16: 1–12.
- McClure, M. S. 1995. Managing hemlock woolly adelgid in ornamental landscapes. Bull. Connecticut Agric. Exp. Sta. 925.
- MCLACHLAN, J. S., D. R. FOSTER, AND F. MENALL, 2000. Anthropogenic ties to late-successional structure and composition in four New England hemlock stands. Ecology 81: 717–733.
- MORIN, R. S., A. M. LIEBHOLD, AND K. W. GOTTSCHALK. 2009. Anisotropic spread of hemlock woolly adelgid in the eastern United States. Biol. Invas. 11: 2341–2350.
- MUZIKA, R. M. AND A. M. LIEBHOLD. 1999. Changes in radial increment of host and nonhost tree species with gypsy moth defoliation. Canad. J. Forest Res. 29: 1365–1373.
- NOWACKI, G. J. AND M. D. ABRAMS. 1997. Radial-growth averaging criteria for reconstructing disturbance histories from presettlement-origin oaks. Ecol. Monogr. 67: 225–249.
- NUCKOLLS, A. E., N. WURZBURGER, C. R. FORD, R. L. HENDRICK, J. M. VOSE, AND B. D. KLOEPPEL. 2009. Hemlock declines rapidly with hemlock woolly adelgid infestation: Impacts on the carbon cycle of southern Appalachian forests. Ecosystems 12: 179–190.
- OGLE, K., T. G. WHITHAM, AND N. S. COBB. 2000. Tree-ring variation in pinyon predicts likelihood of death following severe drought. Ecology 81: 3237–3243.
- OLIVER, C. D. AND E. P. STEPHENS. 1977. Reconstruction of a mixed-species forest in central New England. Ecology 58: 562–572.
- ORWIG, D. A. AND M. D. ABRAMS. 1997. Variation in radial growth responses to drought among species, site, and canopy strata. Trees (Berlin) 11: 474–484.

— AND — . 1999. Impacts of early selective logging on the dendroecology of an old-growth bottomland hemlock-white pine-northern hardwood forest on the Allegheny Plateau. J. Torrey Bot. Soc. 126: 234–244.

—, A. A. BARKER PLOTKIN, E. A. DAVIDSON, H. LUX, K. E. SAVAGE, AND A. M. ELLISON. 2013. Foundation species loss affects vegetation structure more than ecosystem function in a northeastern USA forest. PeerJ 1: e41.

- —, R. C. COBB, A. W. D'AMATO, M. L. KIZLINSKI, AND D. R. FOSTER. 2008. Multi-year ecosystem response to hemlock woolly adelgid infestation in southern New England forests. Canad. J. Forest Res. 38: 834–843.
- —, D. R. FOSTER, AND D. L. MAUSEL 2002. Landscape patterns of hemlock decline in New England due to the introduced hemlock woolly adelgid. J. Biogeogr. 29: 1475–1487.
- —, J. R. THOMPSON, N. A. POVAK, M. MANNER, D. NIEBYL, AND D. R. FOSTER. 2012. A foundation tree at the precipice: Eastern hemlock health following the arrival of *Adelges tsugae* in central New England. Ecosphere: 3: art10.
- PAILLET, F. L. 2002. Chestnut: History and ecology of a transformed species. J. Biogeogr. 29: 1517–1530.
- PAINE, C. E. T., T. R. MARTHEWS, D. R. VOGT, D. PURVES, M. REES, A. HECTOR, AND L. A. TURNBULL. 2012. How to fit nonlinear plant growth models and calculate growth rates: An update for ecologists. Methods Ecol. Evol. 3: 245–256.
- PALMER, W. C. 1965. Meteorological drought. Research Paper 45, U.S. Department of Commerce, Weather Bureau, Washington, DC.
- PEDERSON, N., K. TACKETT, R. W. MCEWAN, S. CLARK, A. COOPER, G. BROSI, R. EATON, AND R. D. STOCKWELL. 2012. Long-term drought sensitivity of trees in second-growth forests in a humid region. Canad. J. Forest Res. 42: 1837–1850.
- R CORE TEAM. 2013 R: A language and environment for statistical computing, version 3.0.1 [release date 16 May 2013]. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. Website (http://www.R-project.org). Accessed Jun 2013.
- RAYMOND, M. E. 1938. Inspection report of the Simes Tract, 12 October 1938. Consulting Forestry File 1950-17, Harvard Forest Archives, Petersham, MA. Website (http://tinyurl.com/pkbhao7). Accessed Jul 2014.
- RIPLEY, B. D. 1977. Modelling spatial patterns. J. Royal Statist. Soc., Series B (Methodological) 39: 172–212.

-. 2004. Spatial Statistics. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., Hoboken, NJ.

- ROGERS, R. S. 1980. Hemlock stands from Wisconsin to Nova Scotia: Transitions in understory composition along a floristic gradient. Ecology 61: 178–193.
- ROHNER, B., H. BUGMANN, AND C. BIGLER. 2013. Estimating the age-diameter relationship of oak species in Switzerland using nonlinear mixed-effects models. Eur. J. Forest Res. 132: 751–764.
- ROHR, J. R., C. G. MAHAN, AND K. C. KIM. 2009. Response of arthropod biodiversity to foundation species declines: The case of the eastern hemlock. Forest Ecol. Managem. 258: 1503–1510.

- RUBINO, D. L. AND B. C. MCMARTHY. 2000. Dendroclimatological analysis of white oak (*Quercus alba L.*, Fagaceae) from an old-growth forest of southeastern Ohio, USA. J. Torrey Bot. Soc. 127: 240–250.
- RYAN, D. A. J., O. B. ALLEN, D. L. MCLAUGHLIN, AND A. M. GORDON. 1994. Interpretation of sugar maple (*Acer saccharum*) ring chronologies from central and southern Ontario using a mixed linear model. Canad. J. Forest Res. 24: 568–575.
- SACKETT, T. E., S. RECORD, S. BEWICK, B. BAISER, N. J. SANDERS, AND A. M. ELLISON. 2011. Response of macroarthropod assemblages to the loss of hemlock (*Tsuga canadenis*), a foundation species. Ecosphere 2: art74.
- SAVAGE, K. E. AND E. A. DAVIDSON. 2001. Interannual variation of soil respiration in two New England forests. Global Biogeochem. Cycles 15: 337–350.
- SIDERHURST, L. A., H. P. GRISCOM, M. HUDY, AND Z. J. BORTOLOT. 2010. Changes in light levels and stream temperatures with loss of eastern hemlock (*Tsuga canadensis*) at a southern Appalachian stream: Implications for brook trout. Forest Ecol. Managem. 260: 1677–1688.
- SMALL, M. J., C. J. SMALL, AND G. D. DREYER. 2005. Changes in a hemlockdominated forest following woolly adelgid infestation in southern New England. J. Torrey Bot. Soc. 132: 458–470.
- SMITH, D. M., B. C. LARSON, M. J. KELTY, AND P. M. S. ASHTON. 1997. The Practice of Silviculture: Applied Forest Ecology, 9th ed. John Wiley and Sons, New York, NY.
- SMITH, E. E. 1948. The release of eastern hemlock by the death of American chestnut on Prospect Hill IV. Harvard Forest Document Archive 1948-18. Available online (http://tinyurl.com/m9ln8bk). Accessed May 2014.
- SMITH, W. B., P. D. MILES, C. H. PERRY, AND S. A. PUGH. 2009. Forest resources of the United States, 2007. USDA Forest Service General Technical Report WO-78, Washington, DC.
- SNYDER, C. D., J. A. YOUNG, D. P. LEMARIE, AND D. R. SMITH. 2002. Influence of eastern hemlock forests on aquatic invertebrate assemblages in headwater streams. Canad. J. Fish. Aquatic Sci. 59: 262–275.
- SPEER, J. H., K. CLAY, G. BISHOP, AND M. CREECH. 2010. The effect of periodical cicadas on growth of five tree species in midwestern deciduous forests. Amer. Midl. Naturalist 164: 173–186.
- STADLER, B., T. MÜLLER, D. ORWIG, AND R. COBB. 2005. Hemlock woolly adelgid in New England forests: Canopy impacts transforming ecosystem processes and landscapes. Ecosystems 8: 233–247.
- SULLIVAN, K. A. AND A. M. ELLISON. 2006. The seed bank of hemlock forests: Implications for forest regeneration following hemlock decline. J. Torrey Bot. Soc. 133: 393–402.
- TINGLEY, M. W., D. A. ORWIG, R. FIELD, AND G. MOTZKIN. 2002. Avian response to removal of a forest dominant: Consequences of hemlock woolly adelgid infestations. J. Biogeogr. 29: 1505–1516.
- Town of PETERSHAM. 1909. Notice requiring residents to destroy gypsy and brown tail moths. Harvard Forest Document Archive 1909-16. Available online (http://tinyurl.com/nq5mhyk). Accessed May 2014.

- UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE. n.d. Official soil series descriptions. Soil Survey Staff, Natural Resources Conservation Service, Lincoln, NE. Website (http://soils.usda.gov/technical/classification/osd/ index.html). Accessed 5 June 2009.
- WALLACE, M. AND D. R. FOSTER. 1993. Early settlement vegetation of central Massachusetts based on witness tree data and proprietor's records. Harvard Forest Document Archive 1993-05. Website (http://tinyurl.com/ q7yd9ag). Accessed May 2014.
- WISNEWSKI, J. AND A. A. BARKER PLOTKIN. 2006. Simes hemlock removal study: Logging costs/revenue summary, seq. 393–397. *In:* Stand Records for Harvard Forest Simes Lot, 1971–2006, Folders 1–6. Harvard Forest Archives online. Website (http://tinyurl.com/oqkwmmx). Accessed Feb 2013.
- Wood, S. N. 2006. Generalized Additive Models: An Introduction with R. Chapman & Hall/CRC, Boca Raton, FL.
- WORCESTER COUNTY LAND-USE PLANNING PROJECT. 1938. Blister rust files. Harvard Forest Document Archive 1938-12c. Website (http://tinyurl.com/ n6ylncs). Accessed May 2014.
- WYCOFF, P. H. AND R. BOWERS. 2010. Response of the prairie-forest border to climate change: Impacts of increasing drought may be mitigated by increasing CO<sub>2</sub>. J. Ecol. 98: 197–208.
- YORKS, T. E., D. J. LEOPOLD, AND D. J. RAYNAL. 2003. Effects of *Tsuga* canadensis mortality on soil water chemistry and understory vegetation: Possible consequences of an invasive insect herbivore. Canad. J. Forest Res. 33: 1525–1537.
- ZEILEIS, A. AND G. GROTHENDIECK. 2005. 200: S3 infrastructure for regular and irregular time series. J. Stat. Softw. 14: 1–27.

#### APPENDIX

Deeds used to reconstruct ownership and land-use history of the Simes Tract. Year is the year of the transaction, not necessarily the year the deed was recorded. Book and Page numbers refer to page numbers in the Recorded Land Books (1731 to present) at the Worcester District Registry of Deeds, available online (http://www.worcesterdeeds.com/). When no Book and Page is given, transmission was made in a will.

Year	Book	Page	Grantor	Grantee
1765	54	502	I. Gleason	J. Gleason
1766	54	503	Sanderson	J. Gleason
1779	86	279	Bradshaw	J. Gleason
1791	113	528	Bradshaw	J. Gleason
1792	118	278	Messinger	J. Gleason
1792	119	194	Stone	J. Gleason
1806	190	11	J. Gleason	C. Gleason
1826	253	48	C. Gleason	Brooks Jr.
1830	276	402	Brooks Jr.	I. Ayers
1859	608	457	I. Ayers	G. Ayers
1897	1552	517	G. Ayers	Johnson
1921	2249	188	Johnson	Recikauskewitz
1941	2805	331	Recikauskewitz	Recos
1941	2842	226	Recos	Morrison
1941	2885	242	Morrison	O. Simes
1947	3086	156	O. Simes	Recos
1970	_	_	O. Simes	Harvard University

1. Deed transmission of Joseph Gleason's Farm.

Year	Book	Page	Grantor	Grantee
1785	113	30	Goodnow	Ward
1788	105	647	Goodnow	S. Chamberlain
1795	241	15	Ward	S. Chamberlain
1826	274	465	S. Chamberlain Jr.	A. Chamberlain
1827	254	484	S. Chamberlain Jr.	E. Chamberlain
1828	271	635	S. Chamberlain Jr.	Williams
1829	269	280	E. Chamberlain	Brooks
1831	281	79	E. Chamberlain	Rogers
1833	296	298	Rogers	J. Towne Jr.
1834	302	293	S. Chamberlain Jr.	Bond
1834	302	294	A. Chamberlain	J. Towne Jr.
1835	307	489	J. Towne Jr.	Bond
1835	307	490	Bond	J. Towne Jr.
1835	307	484	Rogers	J. Towne Jr.
1836	314	282	Bond	R. Towne
1836	320	131	J. Towne Jr.	Carter
1836	320	132	R. Towne	J. Towne Jr.
1836	325	186	J. Towne Jr.	Bond
1837	325	187	J. Towne Jr.	Williams
1838	338	281	Bond	Witt
1839	346	304	Brooks	Ayers
1839	346	304	Ayers	J. Towne Jr.
1839	385	435	J. Towne Jr.	Ayers
1839	346	307	J. Towne Jr.	Witt
1869	785	205	J. Towne Jr.	Foster
1869	785	207	Foster	J. Towne
1880	_	-	J. Towne Jr.	J. Towne
1880	1068	166	J. Towne	Williams
1880	1068	166	J. Towne	Williams
1880	1083	178	Williams	Moore
1884	1195	219	W. J. Towne	E. Towne
1891	1363	609	E. Towne	W. Simes
1929	2508	39	W. Simes	O. Simes
1929	1193	529	E. Towne	H. Carter
1934	2519	348	Williams	O. Simes
1934	2519	348	E. Towne	O. Simes
1970	_	_	O. Simes	Harvard University

2. Deed transmission of Samuel Chamberlain's Farm (a.k.a the Towne Farm *ca.* 1880 and the "Simes and Williams Lots" of 1930).

Year	Book	Page	Grantor	Grantee	
1880	1068	164	J. Towne and Barrows	N. Doubleday	
1880	1068	165	E. Towne	J. Towne	
1883	1135	468	A. Doubleday	R. Doubleday	
1883	1157	364	A. Doubleday	R. Doubleday	
1883	1157	365	R. Doubleday	G. Ayers	
1883	1157	366	G. Ayers	R. Doubleday	

3. Timber sales from the Towne Farm.